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0
00:00:00.305 --> 00:00:00.525
Yes.
1
00:00:03.995 --> 00:00:05.325
Welcome back everybody.
2
00:00:05.555 --> 00:00:10.005
It's now 1:50 PM and the hearing is resumed.
3
00:00:11.625 --> 00:00:15.665
I promise to keep you all up to date on timings.
4
00:00:15.905 --> 00:00:19.545
I am probably about 60% of the way through
5
00:00:20.295 --> 00:00:21.705
traffic and transport.
6
00:00:21.885 --> 00:00:25.785
So for those waiting for the subsequent topics, um,
7
00:00:26.505 --> 00:00:28.505
I would imagine that will take us through to
```


## 8

```
00:00:29.205 --> 00:00:31.705
at least the afternoon break, which we are hoping
9
00:00:31.765 --> 00:00:33.465
to take at 3:30 PM
10
00:00:35.825 --> 00:00:37.135
Thank you very much, sir.
11
00:00:37.255 --> 00:00:39.335
I, I wonder whether you or,
12
00:00:40.315 --> 00:00:43.615
or your colleagues, it's just able to help us
```

```
13
00:00:43.755 --> 00:00:48.095
to realistically, which topics we're hoping to cover today.
14
00:00:48.515 --> 00:00:50.455
Um, so that
15
00:00:51.095 --> 00:00:53.255
I, I think if transport goes through to the next break,
16
00:00:53.705 --> 00:00:56.215
it'll probably just be the next item on the agenda
17
00:00:56.215 --> 00:00:57.375
after that, which would be carbon.
18
00:00:58.755 --> 00:00:59.775
Yes. Um,
19
00:01:00.895 --> 00:01:01.895
Thank you. That's, that's
20
00:01:01.895 --> 00:01:02.485
a helpful,
21
00:01:02.885 --> 00:01:06.145
Although obviously if we do have time, we would seek
2 2
00:01:06.145 --> 00:01:07.465
to go onto the next topic as well.
23
00:01:07.465 --> 00:01:08.505
Yes. Which is ecology. I,
24
00:01:08.685 --> 00:01:12.025
As you may know, um, our lead ecologist unfortunately,
25
00:01:12.165 --> 00:01:14.945
has been, um, badly struck down with Covid.
26
00:01:15.245 --> 00:01:17.945
```

```
Yes, we Understand. And she's not at all well, um,
2 7
00:01:18.525 --> 00:01:23.345
we have team members who can deal with, um, some
28
00:01:23.345 --> 00:01:26.265
of the questions and who can assist, I'm sure,
29
00:01:26.535 --> 00:01:29.825
depending upon the level of detail that, um, that,
30
00:01:29.825 --> 00:01:31.025
that the panel require.
31
00:01:31.455 --> 00:01:33.225
Okay. I mean, it may also be we look
32
00:01:33.225 --> 00:01:34.785
to rearrange the ordering,
33
00:01:34.965 --> 00:01:38.025
but we'll, we'll obviously all push it forward
34
00:01:38.025 --> 00:01:39.545
to we certainly subsequent hearings.
35
00:01:39.855 --> 00:01:43.185
Yeah. We can certainly get going on ecology if we, um,
36
00:01:43.395 --> 00:01:44.865
reach that point today.
37
00:01:45.405 --> 00:01:48.545
But if, if, please you could bear in mind that, that
38
00:01:48.545 --> 00:01:49.545
of course, there're a woman down.
39
00:01:50.175 --> 00:01:51.185
Okay. Thank you. Okay.
```

```
4 0
00:01:51.185 --> 00:01:55.545
Thank you, Sir. Might I, excuse me.
4 1
00:01:56.545 --> 00:01:58.585
Selena Kaho for, for Cambridge County Council,
4 2
00:01:58.795 --> 00:02:01.265
might I just raise a, a, a quick matter, um,
4 3
00:02:01.445 --> 00:02:02.905
for the last series of questions?
4 4
00:02:02.905 --> 00:02:06.825
Mm-Hmm. Um, sir, we listened very carefully, obviously to,
4 5
00:02:06.825 --> 00:02:08.945
to your questions and, and the answers to them.
4 6
00:02:09.445 --> 00:02:11.065
Um, and it may be that you were going to,
4 7
00:02:11.125 --> 00:02:13.345
to ask the county's view in any event,
4 8
00:02:16.975 --> 00:02:20.605
sorry, I'm just taking instruction on this, but the, um, uh,
4 9
00:02:21.265 --> 00:02:22.405
but obviously the,
5 0
00:02:22.405 --> 00:02:26.045
the county council hasn't raised any issues about, um,
5 1
00:02:26.195 --> 00:02:30.605
this junction and in particular we're involved in, um,
5 2
00:02:31.345 --> 00:02:33.805
in agreeing the methodology and the,
53
00:02:33.825 --> 00:02:36.445
```

and the relevant, relevant parameters to the ta.

## 54

00:02:37.425 --> 00:02:40.645
So, um, it, it may be of assistance to you
55
00:02:40.705 --> 00:02:44.765
to hear from either hear from, from the council themselves,

## 56

00:02:44.765 --> 00:02:47.365
and we have a, an officer in waiting who may be able
57
00:02:47.365 --> 00:02:48.845
to speak to this
58
00:02:49.665 --> 00:02:53.725
or which may be a little bit more helpful, is to,
59
00:02:54.225 --> 00:02:58.405
or in parallel is to have something set out in the statement
60
00:02:58.405 --> 00:02:59.700
of common ground that's being agreed
61
00:02:59.700 --> 00:03:01.285
between the county council and,
62
00:03:01.345 --> 00:03:03.485
and the appellant that, that, that deals
63
00:03:03.555 --> 00:03:04.925
with this particular issue.
64
00:03:05.855 --> 00:03:09.205
Thank you. The, um, the points I was raising
65
00:03:09.305 --> 00:03:11.605
before lunch, I will be picking up
66
00:03:11.665 --> 00:03:14.605
for some subsequent questions, which then I was planning

```
6 7
00:03:14.605 --> 00:03:16.605
to bring county in on.
6 8
00:03:16.735 --> 00:03:21.085
Right. Um, it relates to the extent of
6 9
00:03:21.895 --> 00:03:22.925
mitigation really.
70
00:03:24.065 --> 00:03:27.645
Um, so they were basically setting the scene for
71
00:03:28.685 --> 00:03:31.665
the questions that were be coming very, very shortly.
72
00:03:31.955 --> 00:03:35.905
Right. Um, and it would be helpful to have some input
73
00:03:36.045 --> 00:03:37.705
as you've suggested from county,
74
00:03:38.285 --> 00:03:40.065
So, we'll, I, I we're doing it online,
7 5
00:03:40.165 --> 00:03:41.225
but, but We'll, that's fine.
76
00:03:41.225 --> 00:03:42.905
We'll try and make sure that we can be as much help as
7 7
00:03:43.065 --> 00:03:44.065
Possible. Thank you.
78
00:03:44.065 --> 00:03:47.225
It may be, as you suggest that it is taken away
7 9
00:03:47.225 --> 00:03:51.385
and agreed if there are further mitigation measures,
80
00:03:51.385 --> 00:03:52.705
```

```
for example, are necessary.
81
00:03:53.365 --> 00:03:56.225
Um, but we can see where we get with these questions
82
00:03:56.405 --> 00:03:58.665
and then perhaps you could take stock
83
00:03:58.725 --> 00:04:00.305
and decide how you'd like to
84
00:04:00.975 --> 00:04:03.185
respond if indeed you do want to respond.
85
00:04:03.485 --> 00:04:04.745
Yes, sir. Thank you very much, sir.
86
00:04:04.915 --> 00:04:06.425
Thank you. Thank you, sir.
87
00:04:06.685 --> 00:04:10.425
And, um, as the witnesses said, and particularly Mr.
88
00:04:10.745 --> 00:04:14.545
Wick said before, um, the adjournment, um,
89
00:04:15.025 --> 00:04:17.425
o obviously we would like
90
00:04:17.445 --> 00:04:21.265
to respond in writing on various of these points
91
00:04:22.085 --> 00:04:25.585
and, um, I, I make no criticism at all.
92
00:04:26.245 --> 00:04:30.305
Um, but clearly the, the agenda was general Yes.
93
00:04:30.325 --> 00:04:31.825
Uh, in, in terms
```

```
94
00:04:32.565 --> 00:04:37.065
and when one is thinking about traffic modeling, um,
95
00:04:37.365 --> 00:04:39.665
it, it is, uh, a, a complex
96
00:04:39.765 --> 00:04:43.585
and sometimes can be quirky, um, exercise.
97
00:04:44.165 --> 00:04:46.345
Uh, and, and obviously Mr.
98
00:04:46.985 --> 00:04:51.265
Wicks, um, alluded to a highly technical appendix,
99
00:04:51.715 --> 00:04:54.225
which I don't for a moment think or expect
100
00:04:54.225 --> 00:04:56.465
or want us to be going into today.
101
00:04:57.165 --> 00:05:01.585
Um, but, but which clearly does need, um, properly
102
00:05:02.105 --> 00:05:05.105
considering in, in the light of, of, uh,
103
00:05:05.175 --> 00:05:09.825
your questions which have, um, clearly drilled down into a,
104
00:05:09.895 --> 00:05:11.625
into a level of detail.
105
00:05:11.915 --> 00:05:14.265
Thank you. Um, we understand that
106
00:05:14.605 --> 00:05:17.905
and we will, um, be expecting a deadline
1 0 7
00:05:18.005 --> 00:05:20.825
```

```
for the updated documents that cover all of these points.
108
00:05:20.965 --> 00:05:22.265
Yes. Um, and
1 0 9
00:05:24.975 --> 00:05:28.995
of course we will have an opportunity in X XQ two to,
1 1 0
00:05:29.215 --> 00:05:30.515
to follow up on anything.
1 1 1
00:05:30.535 --> 00:05:34.795
Yes. Um, but we would be taking those submitted documents
1 1 2
00:05:34.935 --> 00:05:38.155
as the definitive position as it were, which is why
113
00:05:38.865 --> 00:05:40.715
I've emphasized the need for Yes.
114
00:05:40.745 --> 00:05:43.155
Clarity and accuracy in those documents. Yes,
115
00:05:43.485 --> 00:05:45.795
We've, that point has been heard loud
116
00:05:45.795 --> 00:05:46.955
and clear. Thank you. Thank
1 1 7
00:05:46.955 --> 00:05:47.955
You.
118
00:05:49.695 --> 00:05:53.565
Could we turn then please to document
1 1 9
00:05:54.885 --> 00:05:56.765
a PP dash 1 41
120
00:06:00.955 --> 00:06:05.615
and in particular page, and it's PDF page 2, 2 8 of that.
```

```
1 2 1
00:06:10.715 --> 00:06:15.415
Just while we're turning that up, um, we've noticed online
122
00:06:15.445 --> 00:06:17.735
that people are putting their hands up and taking them down.
123
00:06:17.755 --> 00:06:21.895
If you'd like to make a point, please leave the hand up
124
00:06:21.895 --> 00:06:24.655
because we don't always immediately see that.
125
00:06:25.075 --> 00:06:27.495
And similarly, please, um,
126
00:06:27.825 --> 00:06:31.735
don't use any reaction function such as a thumbs up on
1 2 7
00:06:32.495 --> 00:06:35.815
Microsoft teams, um, as it can cause issues
128
00:06:35.885 --> 00:06:37.455
with the live streaming.
1 2 9
00:06:37.665 --> 00:06:38.095
Thank you.
1 3 0
00:06:43.765 --> 00:06:47.175
Okay. Have we got, I think we're still working our way to
1 3 1
00:06:47.995 --> 00:06:49.935
app 1 4 1
132
00:06:52.535 --> 00:06:54.195
and page 2 2 8.
133
00:07:21.455 --> 00:07:23.885
Thank you. And could we just scroll down
1 3 4
00:07:23.985 --> 00:07:25.765
```

```
so the graph is visible?
```

135
00:07:25.825 --> 00:07:30.805
That's really helpful. Thank you. That's it. Thank you.
136
00:07:31.675 --> 00:07:34.285
This follows on from the point we were discussing
137
00:07:35.025 --> 00:07:39.445
before lunch, looking at the individual arms of

138
00:07:40.205 --> 00:07:44.485
junction 34, and we can see here
139
00:07:45.345 --> 00:07:49.605
the, the junction flow profiles, um, which I'm sure the
140
00:07:50.985 --> 00:07:52.985
transport experts are, are very familiar with.
141
00:07:54.605 --> 00:07:56.625
The, the question
142
00:07:56.655 --> 00:07:59.345
that was raised in our minds when we reviewed this

143
00:08:00.015 --> 00:08:03.465
does relate to those pre peak periods
144
00:08:03.485 --> 00:08:08.305
and Cambridge county council's, um, note in XQ one
145
00:08:08.375 --> 00:08:12.225
that Cambridge doesn't have a single hour peak period.
146
00:08:14.545 --> 00:08:19.325
And looking at these, for example, if we look at the, um,
147
00:08:20.915 - -> 00:08:25.035
the total, which is the black line, um,

148
00:08:25.175 --> 00:08:29.515
on the right hand side, which is for the 4:00 PM until
149
00:08:30.415 --> 00:08:34.435
the 7:00 PM window, we can see that
150
00:08:35.455 --> 00:08:39.965
at about four 30 the amount of traffic
151
00:08:41.495 --> 00:08:45.565
is more or less the same as during the evening traffic peak.
152
00:08:50.825 --> 00:08:53.575
Going back to the question we originally asked about whether
153
00:08:53.585 --> 00:08:57.955
about modeling these, these periods,
154
00:08:58.635 --> 00:09:02.555
I think the, the question probably needs to be refocused on
155
00:09:03.305 --> 00:09:06.515
what are the consequences of the flows
156
00:09:08.265 --> 00:09:10.935
in these pre peak periods
157
00:09:11.355 --> 00:09:13.935
or indeed as Cambridge, sorry,
158
00:09:13.935 --> 00:09:16.655
Cambridge Shire County Council is suggesting
159
00:09:17.425 --> 00:09:21.855
after the, the morning peak, they, they noted till nine 30,
160
00:09:22.135 --> 00:09:23.375
I believe from recollection.
161
00:09:24.275 --> 00:09:27.135

So again, I think this is the point that needs
162
00:09:27.135 --> 00:09:30.535
to be taken away for consideration and a response.
163
00:09:30.665 --> 00:09:35.295
Could we have a response please, on whether
164
00:09:36.235 --> 00:09:39.215
for the individual arms, bearing in mind as we looked at
165
00:09:39.235 --> 00:09:43.935
before lunch, the impact has been identified just on an
166
00:09:43.935 --> 00:09:48.895
individual arm and that impact has given rise to, um,
167
00:09:49.455 --> 00:09:53.695
proposed mitigation, whether the mitigation needs to
168
00:09:54.465 --> 00:09:58.995
cover a broader period, broader period than
169
00:09:58.995 --> 00:10:01.995
that assessed in the application submission.
170
00:10:02.655 --> 00:10:06.595
So it goes back to the, the time periods that we
171
00:10:07.165 --> 00:10:08.755
posed in the original question.
172
00:10:09.035 --> 00:10:10.475
I dunno whether we need to review those
173
00:10:10.575 --> 00:10:12.635
or you can, you've got them to hand.
174
00:10:14.015 --> 00:10:17.575
Um, would you like me to, to just call those up?

```
175
00:10:20.935 --> 00:10:22.075
Uh, yes, please. Yep.
176
00:10:27.985 --> 00:10:30.085
So we asked for, um,
177
00:10:32.115 --> 00:10:34.545
seven till 8:00 AM and nine till 10:00 AM
178
00:10:35.895 --> 00:10:38.675
and the period between the school peak
179
00:10:38.675 --> 00:10:41.835
and the evening peak, which is four to 5:00 PM
180
00:10:45.025 --> 00:10:46.925
And I recognize that the,
181
00:10:49.625 --> 00:10:53.205
the junction flow profile is, is in sort of chunks
182
00:10:53.205 --> 00:10:54.445
of half an hour really, isn't it?
183
00:10:54.475 --> 00:10:58.485
It's, it takes the, the measurements every quarter hour
184
00:10:58.665 --> 00:11:01.605
and presents them in squares of half an hour.
185
00:11:01.665 --> 00:11:02.845
So it may be that you'd like
186
00:11:02.845 --> 00:11:05.445
to break it down into half an hour periods,
187
00:11:06.105 --> 00:11:10.285
but to identify whether within those periods in our
188
00:11:11.755 --> 00:11:15.255
```

question, which was, beg your pardon.
189
00:11:15.325 --> 00:11:19.655
I'll go back and give you the question reference question.
190
00:11:20.075 --> 00:11:23.335
Ex Q1 2081,
191
00:11:26.205 --> 00:11:29.095
whether during those time periods there would be a need
192
00:11:29.095 --> 00:11:33.255
for any mitigation on any of the arms
193
00:11:33.275 --> 00:11:36.455
of junction 34 as is proposed for
194
00:11:37.575 --> 00:11:38.915
the studied periods.
195
00:11:39.655 --> 00:11:42.395
Is that clear or would you like me to, to rephrase it?
196
00:11:53.425 --> 00:11:55.135
Sorry, could you speak into the microphone please?
197
00:11:55.135 --> 00:11:56.135
Thank you.
198
00:11:57.805 --> 00:11:59.895
Turn it on. Gavin Wick for the applicant?
199
00:12:00.355 --> 00:12:02.655
Uh, no, I believe the, the question's clear
200
00:12:02.795 --> 00:12:06.135
so we can look at, yeah, we look at, um, uh,
201
00:12:06.135 --> 00:12:07.215
the mitigation proposed,

```
202
00:12:07.215 --> 00:12:09.015
which is the moving the traffic outta the P hours
2 0 3
00:12:09.475 --> 00:12:12.415
and if there's any, anything additional we'd need
204
00:12:12.415 --> 00:12:14.935
to do other than that for those particular arms in those,
205
00:12:14.955 --> 00:12:16.775
in those, those other peaks,
206
00:12:17.005 --> 00:12:20.415
What we'd like to see is you've obviously analyzed the
207
00:12:20.415 --> 00:12:23.015
peak periods and identified
208
00:12:23.085 --> 00:12:25.855
that over a certain threshold the mitigation is needed
209
00:12:25.855 --> 00:12:29.335
because of the effect on that single arm of the junction.
210
00:12:29.875 --> 00:12:33.655
Mm-Hmm. Whether during any of those other periods,
211
00:12:33.655 --> 00:12:35.775
whether it be by half hour
212
00:12:36.075 --> 00:12:40.025
or hourly period, that same threshold
213
00:12:40.595 --> 00:12:43.185
would be crossed where some type
214
00:12:43.185 --> 00:12:45.145
of mitigation may need to be considered.
2 1 5
00:12:47.555 --> 00:12:48.775
```

Is that okay? Uh, yes.
216
00:12:48.775 --> 00:12:49.935
That's good. Thank you.
217
00:12:50.115 --> 00:12:53.495
And I think at this point it's probably worth going over
218
00:12:53.635 --> 00:12:55.535
to Cambridge County Council
219
00:12:55.535 --> 00:12:58.015
and hopefully the line of questioning early is now clear
220
00:12:58.715 --> 00:13:01.055
why we've, um, drilled down into that.
221
00:13:03.365 --> 00:13:05.385
So I, I believe I understand it.
222
00:13:05.485 --> 00:13:08.345
I'm, I'm, I'm just checking line with, with, um, Mr.
223
00:13:08.565 --> 00:13:11.225
Tattle who is, um, the officer who may well be able
224
00:13:11.225 --> 00:13:13.585
to assist, but I I'm not proposing him
225
00:13:13.585 --> 00:13:14.945
to give you an answer at the moment.
226
00:13:15.925 --> 00:13:17.745
Im just, thank you. I'm peering over Mr.
227
00:13:17.795 --> 00:13:22.785
Hartford's, so he's one, so that's, that's fine.
228
00:13:22.805 --> 00:13:24.385
We can, we can deal with that, but we'll deal with it in

```
229
00:13:24.385 --> 00:13:25.385
Run. Thank you. Are
2 3 0
00:13:25.385 --> 00:13:27.305
there any other points you'd like to make,
231
00:13:28.045 --> 00:13:30.505
um, from the, you, you know,
2 3 2
00:13:30.505 --> 00:13:32.145
you picked up the period before lunch.
233
00:13:32.445 --> 00:13:34.145
Is there anything else you'd like to come back on?
234
00:13:35.485 --> 00:13:37.385
Not that I have been instructed to and,
2 3 5
00:13:37.385 --> 00:13:38.425
and not that I'm aware of,
236
00:13:38.425 --> 00:13:41.505
but that I, I think that the principle point I wanted
237
00:13:41.505 --> 00:13:42.985
to make was that these are matters
2 3 8
00:13:42.985 --> 00:13:46.905
that had been already considered by the county council.
239
00:13:47.245 --> 00:13:49.985
So it's more an assurance that, that it's not
240
00:13:49.985 --> 00:13:51.625
that we have not had been,
241
00:13:51.845 --> 00:13:53.745
not been involved in the process at all and,
242
00:13:53.745 --> 00:13:54.945
```

```
and that there has been Thank
243
00:13:54.945 --> 00:13:55.945
You. We understand that
244
00:13:55.945 --> 00:13:59.705
and it's, um, it's to identify whether,
245
00:14:00.165 --> 00:14:01.625
um, mitigation is appropriate.
246
00:14:02.975 --> 00:14:04.285
Thank you, sir. We understand. Thank you.
247
00:14:06.205 --> 00:14:09.945
So may I, if you are about to move on to another topic,
248
00:14:10.565 --> 00:14:14.145
um, may I just allude to a context point
249
00:14:14.525 --> 00:14:16.425
and it, it may be that, uh,
250
00:14:16.735 --> 00:14:18.665
it's better if you hear it directly
251
00:14:19.205 --> 00:14:20.865
or in a little more detail from Mr.
252
00:14:21.295 --> 00:14:23.105
Rulings or Mr. Wicks, but,
253
00:14:23.325 --> 00:14:28.185
but it is, uh, just respectfully to remind everybody
254
00:14:28.925 --> 00:14:33.785
of, um, in a sense all the, the caveats
255
00:14:33.785 --> 00:14:37.545
and worst cases and so forth, which, uh, need to be
```

```
256
00:14:37.645 --> 00:14:42.585
or are built into all of this, um, in terms of,
257
00:14:43.405 --> 00:14:45.905
uh, the wider policy aspirations
2 5 8
00:14:45.925 --> 00:14:49.305
of the county council in particular, uh,
259
00:14:49.525 --> 00:14:51.965
to reduce traffic on the, the road
260
00:14:52.305 --> 00:14:55.445
and all these figures build into them, uh,
261
00:14:55.695 --> 00:15:00.565
tempo growth assumptions for a, a long time in the future.
262
00:15:01.465 --> 00:15:05.405
And, um, I've doubt this ex expressed that in a terribly
2 6 3
00:15:06.195 --> 00:15:08.205
stumbling lay kind of way.
264
00:15:08.585 --> 00:15:10.605
And if Mr. Rawlings or Mr.
265
00:15:10.845 --> 00:15:15.085
Wicks can put it better than that, um, I I
266
00:15:15.775 --> 00:15:18.085
would hope that you'd be prepared to hear them for a moment
267
00:15:18.145 --> 00:15:19.205
or two on that point.
268
00:15:19.685 --> 00:15:21.765
I would, I do have that point already,
2 6 9
00:15:22.345 --> 00:15:23.845
```

```
and I would hope that, um,
270
00:15:23.955 --> 00:15:27.765
that would be the basis on which Cambridge County Council
2 7 1
00:15:27.895 --> 00:15:30.685
would respond to any additional information
2 7 2
00:15:30.715 --> 00:15:32.445
that the applicant prefer prepares.
273
00:15:33.135 --> 00:15:34.645
Would you like to come back on that point,
274
00:15:37.205 --> 00:15:38.505
Uh, Gavin makes for the applicant?
2 7 5
00:15:38.605 --> 00:15:40.065
Uh, yeah. Yes. Just briefly.
276
00:15:40.245 --> 00:15:43.185
Um, I suppose with Cambridge here, we'd, uh,
277
00:15:43.185 --> 00:15:45.185
looked at the use of these forecast figures
2 7 8
00:15:45.185 --> 00:15:49.445
that the tempo growth figures, uh, as Mogue stated, uh,
2 7 9
00:15:49.545 --> 00:15:52.645
and we were, we, we agreed with them that
280
00:15:52.645 --> 00:15:54.845
that was the correct approach to use, uh,
281
00:15:54.945 --> 00:15:57.805
and that they represented, uh, uh, a,
282
00:15:58.045 --> 00:16:01.285
a reasonable look forward for the, for the 2038 period,
```

```
2 8 3
00:16:01.685 --> 00:16:03.805
a reasonable look at traffic flow, uh,
284
00:16:04.575 --> 00:16:06.365
worse case, uh, for that period.
2 8 5
00:16:06.745 --> 00:16:10.045
Uh, and that was what we would base our, our traffic, um,
286
00:16:10.045 --> 00:16:12.005
assessment on that, that growth.
287
00:16:12.665 --> 00:16:14.045
And you'd agree that if
288
00:16:15.025 --> 00:16:17.445
any additional mitigation is needed
2 8 9
00:16:17.555 --> 00:16:19.245
that would be appropriate to look at
290
00:16:19.245 --> 00:16:22.045
that worst case scenario to, to identify that?
291
00:16:22.545 --> 00:16:25.365
Uh, we'd, uh, we did look at when we put the, um,
292
00:16:25.865 --> 00:16:28.485
the initial tra the, the traffic flow for the peak hours,
293
00:16:28.705 --> 00:16:31.485
the, uh, the, um, the seven till eight, uh,
294
00:16:31.485 --> 00:16:36.445
and the five till six, um, uh, tests, we did look at, um,
295
00:16:36.665 --> 00:16:38.165
the initial results, which showed
296
00:16:38.165 --> 00:16:41.165
```

```
that there were potential issues with junction capacity, uh,
297
00:16:41.185 --> 00:16:43.405
and talked to Cambridge at that point,
298
00:16:43.755 --> 00:16:46.725
said potential CTMP medications to move outside.
299
00:16:46.725 --> 00:16:48.405
And at that point, that's when we looked at the traffic
300
00:16:48.495 --> 00:16:50.045
flows on those outside peaks,
301
00:16:50.045 --> 00:16:52.525
and that's what we'd put forward in the, um, the, uh,
302
00:16:52.525 --> 00:16:54.325
environmental assessment and the transport assessment.
3 0 3
00:16:54.625 --> 00:16:56.685
And again, that was something we'd talked to Cambridge
304
00:16:56.685 --> 00:17:00.285
and we'd reviewed with them and, um, we were brought, well,
305
00:17:00.285 --> 00:17:02.205
we were in agreement with that was the right approach.
306
00:17:03.165 --> 00:17:06.085
I suppose the, the step we've covered today then is a,
3 0 7
00:17:06.405 --> 00:17:07.605
a step further than that
308
00:17:07.605 --> 00:17:11.205
because you've disaggregated the impact by arm
309
00:17:11.225 --> 00:17:15.565
of the junction looking at that, um, that same,
```

```
310
00:17:16.065 --> 00:17:19.925
um, affected part of the network, um, outside
311
00:17:19.925 --> 00:17:22.125
of peak hours rather than the junction as a whole.
312
00:17:23.785 --> 00:17:25.045
The conclusion we have
313
00:17:25.145 --> 00:17:28.445
before us is just for the con sorry for the, the junction
314
00:17:28.465 --> 00:17:31.845
as a whole, I believe in terms of the impact
315
00:17:31.845 --> 00:17:32.965
outside of the peak hours,
316
00:17:34.955 --> 00:17:36.855
Yes, I suppose that looks at the junction as a whole,
317
00:17:36.855 --> 00:17:38.975
but the, yes, I guess the mitigation is to,
318
00:17:39.155 --> 00:17:42.095
to move traffic away, so that affects each arm individually,
319
00:17:42.095 --> 00:17:43.455
but yes, it's the junction as a whole.
320
00:17:43.745 --> 00:17:47.935
Thank you. Let's move on to mitigation proposals then.
321
00:17:48.315 --> 00:17:49.565
So my, I'm so sorry.
322
00:17:49.765 --> 00:17:52.965
I know that you are poised, um, but I, I, Mr.
323
00:17:53.245 --> 00:17:56.365
```

Tuttle can actually help, um, o on on, on this now and is
324
00:17:56.365 --> 00:17:58.485
and his, and I think it would be helpful given
325
00:17:58.485 --> 00:18:00.485
that we've been discussing it to, to hear from him.
326
00:18:00.665 --> 00:18:02.805
Of course, yes. Thank you very much, sir. Mr.
327
00:18:03.005 --> 00:18:06.725
Tuttle is the, um, the council's, the county council's, uh,
328
00:18:07.085 --> 00:18:08.765
transport assessment manager. Thank
329
00:18:08.765 --> 00:18:09.765
You Mr. tl.
330
00:18:09.765 --> 00:18:10.425

331
00:18:11.165 --> 00:18:12.905
Um, good afternoon, sir.
332
00:18:13.085 --> 00:18:15.585
Uh, my name's Jess TTL from the county council.
333
00:18:16.485 --> 00:18:18.745
Um, we have worked with the applicant
334
00:18:19.285 --> 00:18:21.745
in quite considerable detail about looking at the,
335
00:18:22.325 --> 00:18:24.985
the mitigation that might be required at the junction.
336
00:18:25.805 --> 00:18:30.065
Um, they proposed a set of signals, which we then sent

```
337
00:18:30.065 --> 00:18:33.505
through the modeling to our signals experts,
338
00:18:34.125 --> 00:18:35.385
who then looked at it
339
00:18:35.525 --> 00:18:39.025
and decided that the model was well constructed, um,
340
00:18:39.445 --> 00:18:42.585
and didn't see any problems with the modeling from that.
341
00:18:42.765 --> 00:18:46.185
We concluded that the signals, whilst
342
00:18:47.115 --> 00:18:51.205
there are some areas where the
343
00:18:51.715 --> 00:18:56.005
arms might be close capacity in certain circumstances, was a
344
00:18:56.795 --> 00:19:00.445
good mitigation package, um,
345
00:19:00.625 --> 00:19:02.405
to mitigate the impacts the traffic.
346
00:19:02.905 --> 00:19:06.205
One of the things about signals modeling is, um,
347
00:19:06.255 --> 00:19:09.125
apart from the intricacies that have been discussed
348
00:19:09.125 --> 00:19:13.485
and alluded to, um, by the applicant's consultant, is that
349
00:19:14.395 --> 00:19:19.165
when modeling signals you put in fixed times for green times
350
00:19:19.545 --> 00:19:22.125
```

and into greens, et cetera, um,
351
00:19:22.305 --> 00:19:26.965
but in reality we run all signals on a system called mover
352
00:19:27.345 --> 00:19:30.885
now or another equivalent system.
353
00:19:31.035 --> 00:19:36.005
What that can do or does is it learns traffic patterns
354
00:19:36.505 --> 00:19:40.525
and it can actually then take spare capacity from one arm
355
00:19:41.065 --> 00:19:42.325
and give it to another one.
356
00:19:42.945 --> 00:19:46.565
So therefore it can actually adapt to the traffic situation.
357
00:19:46.705 --> 00:19:51.325
So if there are significantly lower flows on any
358
00:19:51.325 --> 00:19:53.525
of the arms, it can then say, well,
359
00:19:53.725 --> 00:19:55.845
actually I think I'll give that green time to another arm.
360
00:19:56.425 --> 00:20:00.565
So it actually balances out the, the junction operation
361
00:20:01.165 --> 00:20:03.405
a lot better than the signals used to.
362
00:20:05.505 --> 00:20:06.815
Sorry, can I just interrupt?
363
00:20:06.915 --> 00:20:09.215
Um, nothing in response to what you just said.

364
00:20:09.225 --> 00:20:11.575
We've just seen a thumbs down appear on the screen.
365
00:20:12.135 --> 00:20:13.975
I dunno how this is happening,
366
00:20:14.035 --> 00:20:16.415
but if everybody participating
367
00:20:17.105 --> 00:20:19.575
could refrain from using the function on teams,
368
00:20:19.575 --> 00:20:20.575
that would be really helpful.
369
00:20:20.745 --> 00:20:23.495
Thank you. Um, thank you Mr. Tuttle.
370
00:20:23.675 --> 00:20:26.135
Um, I'm sure that wasn't a, a,

## 371

00:20:26.815 --> 00:20:30.135
a reflection on yourself there, that, um, the,
372
00:20:30.565 --> 00:20:34.455
hopefully you understand that we, we do need to just verify
373
00:20:34.645 --> 00:20:38.335
that, um, the mitigation being proposed
374
00:20:39.155 --> 00:20:40.295
is satisfactory.
375
00:20:41.275 --> 00:20:42.615
Um, not least
376
00:20:42.615 --> 00:20:45.135
because this junction has been the focus
377
00:20:45.195 --> 00:20:48.270
of many representations that have, have been submitted to
378
00:20:48.785 --> 00:20:49.925
the examining authority
379
00:20:50.625 --> 00:20:52.725
and hopefully given the amount of work
380
00:20:52.795 --> 00:20:54.365
that you've just explained,
381
00:20:54.475 --> 00:20:58.845
that should be a relatively straightforward task to, um,
382
00:20:59.185 --> 00:21:02.085
to prepare those additional conclusions that we look for.
383
00:21:03.285 --> 00:21:06.245
I, I, I think that apologies for stepping in.
384
00:21:06.345 --> 00:21:10.045
So, um, I think that if we were to submit something at um,
385
00:21:10.535 --> 00:21:13.645
stage, uh, stage four, um, four, um,
386
00:21:14.115 --> 00:21:15.965
then we could clarify a lot of the points
387
00:21:15.965 --> 00:21:16.925
that I've just gone through
388
00:21:16.925 --> 00:21:18.045
and the applicant's gone through.
389
00:21:18.045 --> 00:21:20.045
Thank you. The satisfaction of the, uh,
390
00:21:21.455 --> 00:21:22.455
Thank you.

```
391
00:21:25.155 --> 00:21:28.735
Is there anything else on that point then before I move on?
392
00:21:30.265 --> 00:21:33.765
No, thank you. Mitigation proposals
393
00:21:33.985 --> 00:21:38.165
and, uh, this is, um, Cambridge County Council's response,
394
00:21:38.165 --> 00:21:42.925
which we'll find at rep one dash 1 3 4.
395
00:21:53.125 --> 00:21:56.945
The Cambridge County Council says that in the view
396
00:21:56.945 --> 00:21:58.785
of the transport assessment team
397
00:22:00.265 --> 00:22:03.785
restricting peak hour movement over any peak period, this is
398
00:22:03.785 --> 00:22:07.505
for the operational period would not achieve any great
399
00:22:07.825 --> 00:22:10.305
reduction in traffic, given the commentary above
4 0 0
00:22:11.765 --> 00:22:13.065
it would not be possible
4 0 1
00:22:13.165 --> 00:22:16.545
or legal to prevent all vehicles traveling through Hoing Sea
4 0 2
00:22:17.085 --> 00:22:19.625
as there may be employees for which this is the fastest
4 0 3
00:22:19.885 --> 00:22:23.825
or most convenient routes their workplace as alluded to
4 0 4
00:22:23.875 --> 00:22:25.905
```

```
above enforcement of a peak hour
4 0 5
00:22:26.405 --> 00:22:28.785
or peak period ban would be very difficult.
4 0 6
00:22:29.525 --> 00:22:31.625
For this reason, the local highway authority
4 0 7
00:22:32.325 --> 00:22:34.065
do not currently have any schemes
4 0 8
00:22:34.065 --> 00:22:36.945
or instances where all vehicles with a specific origin
4 0 9
00:22:37.445 --> 00:22:39.665
or destination and monitored for the purposes
4 1 0
00:22:39.665 --> 00:22:42.585
of restricting route choice in the view
4 1 1
00:22:42.585 --> 00:22:46.545
of the local highway authority, that secondary mitigation
4 1 2
00:22:46.545 --> 00:22:49.465
as proposed would not achieve its aims due
4 1 3
00:22:49.465 --> 00:22:52.305
to aforementioned enforcement and legal issues.
4 1 4
00:22:53.375 --> 00:22:54.425
Safe Honey Hill,
4 1 5
00:22:55.165 --> 00:22:57.345
and this is, um, document
4 1 6
00:22:57.885 --> 00:23:00.505
rep two dash 0 6 3
4 1 7
00:23:01.805 --> 00:23:04.995
and in response to XQ one,
```

```
4 1 8
00:23:05.275 --> 00:23:10.095
question 2079 has also raised concerns
4 1 9
00:23:10.095 --> 00:23:13.095
about enforceability, um,
4 2 0
00:23:13.475 --> 00:23:17.695
and the point that was made earlier about, um, how the,
4 2 1
00:23:18.715 --> 00:23:21.295
um, monitoring through A NPR would work.
4 2 2
00:23:21.475 --> 00:23:26.055
So could I come back first to the applicant please
4 2 3
00:23:26.995 --> 00:23:29.535
and to respond to Cambridge County Council's
424
00:23:30.225 --> 00:23:31.615
views on effectiveness
4 2 5
00:23:31.635 --> 00:23:34.255
and enforceability of the proposed mitigation?
426
00:23:40.545 --> 00:23:44.645
Andrew rulings, uh, Mark McDonald, um, in terms of, of,
4 2 7
00:23:45.025 --> 00:23:46.985
um, my comments are not
4 2 8
00:23:46.985 --> 00:23:49.025
around restricting the peak hour movements,
4 2 9
00:23:49.085 --> 00:23:51.865
but around the movements at the junction itself.
4 3 0
00:23:52.125 --> 00:23:54.745
So the forearm junction that is being formed
4 3 1
00:23:54.845 --> 00:23:57.745
```

```
to provide the new access into the site is
4 3 2
00:23:57.745 --> 00:24:00.985
that within the DCO, we have drafted
4 3 3
00:24:02.185 --> 00:24:04.985
proposals in for, in, in the form
4 3 4
00:24:04.985 --> 00:24:07.625
of TROs traffic regulation orders that
4 3 5
00:24:08.345 --> 00:24:10.625
prohibit certain movements at that junction.
436
00:24:11.445 --> 00:24:15.385
And so the left turn in from Hoing Sea into
4 3 7
00:24:15.385 --> 00:24:16.505
the site is prohibited.
4 3 8
00:24:17.485 --> 00:24:19.145
The right turn, uh,
4 3 9
00:24:19.315 --> 00:24:23.265
northbound on Hoing Sea Road into the site is prohibited,
440
00:24:24.085 --> 00:24:26.625
and the right turn outta the site
4 4 1
00:24:27.495 --> 00:24:29.185
into Horing Sea is prohibited.
442
00:24:29.845 --> 00:24:32.545
And the, the junction itself has been designed
4 4 3
00:24:33.715 --> 00:24:36.895
in order physically in terms of the, the, uh,
444
00:24:37.955 --> 00:24:40.255
The, The traffic islands
```

```
4 4 5
00:24:40.795 --> 00:24:42.815
to make those turns difficult as well.
446
00:24:42.915 --> 00:24:46.735
So there's the proposals for enforcement in terms of
4 4 7
00:24:48.085 --> 00:24:49.455
traffic regulation orders
448
00:24:49.955 --> 00:24:51.215
and the design of the junction
449
00:24:51.395 --> 00:24:53.455
to make those maneuver movements
4 5 0
00:24:54.915 --> 00:24:58.655
Well, Contrary to a traffic regulation order
4 5 1
00:24:58.655 --> 00:25:00.175
and difficult to do in reality.
4 5 2
00:25:00.955 --> 00:25:04.015
And that means that you are then left with, in terms
4 5 3
00:25:04.015 --> 00:25:08.855
of accessing the site, um, coming off the a 14 off slip
4 5 4
00:25:09.075 --> 00:25:11.295
and going straight over to get into the site
4 5 5
00:25:11.915 --> 00:25:14.665
and then, um, coming out the site,
456
00:25:15.005 --> 00:25:18.185
making a legal left turn across the over bridge
4 5 7
00:25:18.245 --> 00:25:19.905
and then back onto the A 14.
4 5 8
00:25:22.205 --> 00:25:26.915
```

```
So can we, can I just clarify
4 5 9
00:25:27.065 --> 00:25:30.875
with you the, so the access into the
4 6 0
00:25:31.905 --> 00:25:33.595
site, if you were coming from Hoing
4 6 1
00:25:33.595 --> 00:25:35.235
so you wouldn't be able to turn left into it,
4 6 2
00:25:36.905 --> 00:25:37.905
That's correct. In terms of
4 6 3
00:25:37.905 --> 00:25:39.805
being banned as a,
4 6 4
00:25:40.105 --> 00:25:41.765
as a traffic regulation order? Yes.
4 6 5
00:25:42.345 --> 00:25:43.765
And how would that be enforced?
4 6 6
00:25:45.665 --> 00:25:49.355
Well, it would, it would be if a, if a vehicle made
4 6 7
00:25:49.355 --> 00:25:52.315
that turn, then it would be enforced through, you know,
4 6 8
00:25:52.375 --> 00:25:56.415
any other, um, contravention to, um,
4 6 9
00:25:57.295 --> 00:25:58.495
a traffic regulation order.
4 7 0
00:25:59.315 --> 00:26:02.975
And can, sorry to ask you to repeat,
4 7 1
00:26:03.155 --> 00:26:06.455
can you turn right if going north along ing Sea Road
```

```
4 7 2
00:26:06.455 --> 00:26:08.535
Into No, that's prohibited as well.
4 7 3
00:26:09.505 --> 00:26:12.405
So that means that, you know, you basically, you,
4 7 4
00:26:12.625 --> 00:26:14.805
if you're coming from ING Sea,
4 7 5
00:26:15.065 --> 00:26:16.325
you can't make the left turn in
476
00:26:16.345 --> 00:26:17.885
and if you're coming from Fendi
4 7 7
00:26:17.885 --> 00:26:20.485
and you can't make the right turn into the site,
4 7 8
00:26:20.485 --> 00:26:24.525
and that was one of, in, um, one of the early consultations
479
00:26:24.555 --> 00:26:26.925
with local residents, that was a, a key point
4 8 0
00:26:26.925 --> 00:26:31.605
that they wanted, um, that enforcement in place in order to,
4 8 1
00:26:31.985 --> 00:26:35.535
to help with the, um, the management
4 8 2
00:26:35.535 --> 00:26:37.535
of routing in and outta the site.
4 8 3
00:26:39.215 --> 00:26:42.275
But couldn't somebody from Horing CC come south,
4 8 4
00:26:42.655 --> 00:26:46.685
go off onto the A 14 at junction 34 round the Milton
4 8 5
00:26:46.685 --> 00:26:47.725
```

```
interchanging back again?
4 8 6
00:26:48.345 --> 00:26:49.405
Yes. That's, that's,
4 8 7
00:26:49.435 --> 00:26:51.365
that is still possible they could do that maneuver.
4 8 8
00:26:51.505 --> 00:26:52.505
Yes.
4 8 9
00:26:52.745 --> 00:26:55.325
How does this balance, in terms of the
4 9 0
00:26:55.945 --> 00:27:00.475
general policy desirability of reducing travel by
4 9 1
00:27:01.635 --> 00:27:04.835
motorized vehicles, doesn't it simply add a lot
4 9 2
00:27:04.835 --> 00:27:06.435
of additional mileage to the network?
4 9 3
00:27:07.275 --> 00:27:10.035
I think it means, you know, it means that we,
4 9 4
00:27:10.345 --> 00:27:12.995
that makes it impractical or, or,
4 9 5
00:27:13.055 --> 00:27:16.395
or not a very good choice as a, as a route option than, so
4 9 6
00:27:16.395 --> 00:27:18.715
therefore people are unlikely to do it.
4 9 7
00:27:20.135 --> 00:27:21.235
Is it better to,
4 9 8
00:27:24.295 --> 00:27:27.555
to physically design out, as I think you were suggesting,
```

```
4 9 9
00:27:27.555 --> 00:27:31.875
those movements, um, to mitigate the impacts that
500
00:27:31.875 --> 00:27:35.275
that is seeking to mitigate as oppo well
501
00:27:35.615 --> 00:27:38.395
and have the consequence of increasing,
502
00:27:38.985 --> 00:27:40.995
potentially increasing mileage on the network?
503
00:27:41.265 --> 00:27:42.635
Yeah, sorry to interrupt. Yeah. Yes.
504
00:27:42.635 --> 00:27:45.275
With, with there, there's both the measures in terms
505
00:27:45.335 --> 00:27:46.995
of the traffic regulation order
506
00:27:47.015 --> 00:27:49.115
and the appropriate signage at the junction
507
00:27:49.615 --> 00:27:51.435
and on the traffic signal heads themselves,
508
00:27:51.495 --> 00:27:54.355
but also where we've, where we've been able to do it
509
00:27:54.355 --> 00:27:58.395
to design in the traffic islands to make it
510
00:27:58.775 --> 00:28:02.355
to physically to make it difficult to make those
511
00:28:03.145 --> 00:28:04.635
illegal move maneuvers.
512
00:28:06.965 --> 00:28:09.545
```

```
But as we've seen, the, the big issue seems
513
00:28:09.545 --> 00:28:12.305
to be the ons slip in peak hours, is that correct?
514
00:28:12.805 --> 00:28:14.745
The junction 34 on slip
5 1 5
00:28:16.785 --> 00:28:18.965
In terms of modeling? Yes. Yes.
516
00:28:19.585 --> 00:28:21.605
Are you risking exacerbating that?
517
00:28:21.745 --> 00:28:24.725
If you, if if say as I described somebody
5 1 8
00:28:25.515 --> 00:28:28.925
goes south from Hoey, goes onto the A 14
5 1 9
00:28:29.025 --> 00:28:32.165
and in effect as a big U-turn via the junction,
520
00:28:32.275 --> 00:28:33.365
then that's an interchange.
521
00:28:34.525 --> 00:28:36.205
I, I would, I'd be surprised
52
00:28:36.205 --> 00:28:37.845
that many people would do that maneuver.
523
00:28:38.325 --> 00:28:41.525
I think that the main issue would be people coming down from
524
00:28:41.525 --> 00:28:44.565
the north down the A 10 going through Water Beach
525
00:28:44.565 --> 00:28:49.045
and Horing Sea is a, a rat run by having
```

```
526
00:28:49.105 --> 00:28:51.525
to make them do that additional maneuver
527
00:28:51.705 --> 00:28:53.485
to go back onto the Ahor 10
528
00:28:53.485 --> 00:28:55.125
and then up to the a 10 junction.
529
00:28:55.805 --> 00:28:58.605
I think that will stop the vast majority of people
5 3 0
00:28:58.605 --> 00:29:03.445
that would be tempted to, to, to use Water Beach
531
00:29:03.665 --> 00:29:06.805
and Horing Sea to get to the site, to
532
00:29:07.905 --> 00:29:09.005
to, to route that way.
5 3 3
00:29:09.385 --> 00:29:12.565
Of course, there still is people that may work and live in
534
00:29:13.545 --> 00:29:17.255
and, you know, they, they could be tempted to make
5 3 5
00:29:17.255 --> 00:29:19.205
that left turn, um,
536
00:29:19.665 --> 00:29:22.845
or, you know, the, the, um, the maneuver
5 3 7
00:29:22.845 --> 00:29:25.045
that you suggested in terms of the A 14.
538
00:29:25.065 --> 00:29:26.485
But I think, you know, that's gonna be very,
5 3 9
00:29:26.485 --> 00:29:28.245
```

```
very small numbers of people.
540
00:29:28.935 --> 00:29:32.285
Thank you. Um, just one final point on this
5 4 1
00:29:32.285 --> 00:29:33.445
before I go to the county.
542
00:29:34.145 --> 00:29:38.885
Um, what's the point of the measures described
543
00:29:38.905 --> 00:29:43.565
for the OLTP if you've physically designed out
544
00:29:44.025 --> 00:29:47.525
or tried to physically mitigate, um,
545
00:29:47.525 --> 00:29:49.085
various routes from being taken?
546
00:29:50.285 --> 00:29:51.465
Um, can you give some more
5 4 7
00:29:51.465 --> 00:29:52.905
detail in terms of what happened? Yes, of course.
548
00:29:52.965 --> 00:29:56.785
So the, the OLTP, um, would
549
00:29:57.875 --> 00:29:59.665
inclu include A NPR
550
00:29:59.925 --> 00:30:02.945
and monitoring measures, for example, um,
551
00:30:03.485 --> 00:30:06.905
and specify where people couldn't, couldn't go,
552
00:30:06.965 --> 00:30:08.025
as I understand it.
```

```
553
00:30:08.845 --> 00:30:12.575
Um, is that an, an unnecessary
554
00:30:14.095 --> 00:30:16.935
document that doesn't need to be certified,
555
00:30:17.295 --> 00:30:18.415
I suppose is the point
55
00:30:18.415 --> 00:30:21.375
that I'm asking if you've already designed these things out?
557
00:30:22.945 --> 00:30:24.385
I think, I think they go hand in hand.
558
00:30:24.425 --> 00:30:27.105
I think that, that the, the designing out
559
00:30:27.125 --> 00:30:29.225
and the enforcement side of that is strong,
5 6 0
00:30:29.605 --> 00:30:33.745
but having a NPR cameras at the, um, in a sense,
5 6 1
00:30:33.765 --> 00:30:38.025
the private access to the site, um, gives another level
562
00:30:38.045 --> 00:30:42.345
of monitoring to see if there is actually any, you know, um,
563
00:30:43.175 --> 00:30:46.185
enforcement issues because particularly the left turn
564
00:30:46.695 --> 00:30:50.425
into the site from Horing Sea, it's very difficult to design
5 6 5
00:30:50.425 --> 00:30:54.145
that out in terms of a a, a private car or van maneuver.
566
00:30:54.995 --> 00:30:57.545
```

Thank you. Cambridge County Council,
567
00:30:58.005 --> 00:30:59.425
do you have any comments on that
568
00:30:59.525 --> 00:31:00.865
as local highways authority?
569
00:31:01.585 --> 00:31:05.105
I, I understand Mr. Tuttle is, is in the wings, um,
570
00:31:05.205 --> 00:31:06.425
and able to make some comment.
571
00:31:06.595 --> 00:31:07.865
Thank you Mr. Tuttle.
572
00:31:09.245 --> 00:31:11.465
Um, thank you and good afternoon again, sir.
573
00:31:11.965 --> 00:31:16.545
Um, the design of the junction is obviously the best way
574
00:31:16.545 --> 00:31:20.175
of trying to stop people doing certain maneuvers,
575
00:31:20.415 --> 00:31:22.695
although you're never gonna stop everyone doing it
576
00:31:22.695 --> 00:31:25.175
because of various movements
577
00:31:25.195 --> 00:31:28.655
and traction for large vehicles that needs to,
578
00:31:29.155 --> 00:31:30.175
um, to be done.
579
00:31:31.895 --> 00:31:34.855
A MPR can be useful for tracking vehicles.

```
580
00:31:35.875 --> 00:31:39.335
Um, you know, the cameras are quite small these days.
51
00:31:39.335 --> 00:31:41.815
They're quite inexpensive. They can be very useful.
582
00:31:42.625 --> 00:31:44.215
Using that information
58
00:31:44.275 --> 00:31:46.815
to actually enforce is a bit more difficult
584
00:31:47.245 --> 00:31:51.135
because under the GDPR regulations, all we can do
5 8 5
00:31:51.755 --> 00:31:56.695
is use anonymized strings of traffic, as it were,
586
00:31:57.015 --> 00:32:01.375
IEA vehicle that passed through one
587
00:32:01.375 --> 00:32:04.695
or more cameras to say that that vehicle took that route.
58
00:32:04.925 --> 00:32:08.935
What we can't necessarily do is identify who owns
589
00:32:08.935 --> 00:32:11.015
that vehicle, what that vehicle was.
590
00:32:15.035 --> 00:32:19.455
Um, so whilst we can, we could say,
5 9 1
00:32:19.805 --> 00:32:22.855
okay, over a period maybe x amount
592
00:32:22.855 --> 00:32:25.895
of vehicles did go into the site from Horton.
593
00:32:25.895 --> 00:32:28.015
```

See, we would not actually be able
594
00:32:28.355 --> 00:32:31.335
to find out from a county council perspective anyway,
595
00:32:32.035 --> 00:32:34.455
who they were and, and what they were.
596
00:32:35.195 --> 00:32:40.095
And this does sort of negate the, kind of
597
00:32:40.875 --> 00:32:43.255
the impact of having the A NPR if we,
598
00:32:43.475 --> 00:32:45.335
we can't do a lot with the data.
599
00:32:47.145 --> 00:32:51.485
Thank you. Thank you. Hopefully the
600
00:32:51.855 --> 00:32:54.165
sound team issue in here,
601
00:32:54.445 --> 00:32:56.965
I think some turned on.
602
00:32:58.265 --> 00:33:00.365
Is that okay? That's better. Thank you.
603
00:33:00.655 --> 00:33:01.725
Sorry about that everybody.
604
00:33:02.145 --> 00:33:05.245
Um, well can I set an action then?
605
00:33:05.385 --> 00:33:09.845
The county and the applicant come back to the XA on whether,
606
00:33:10.825 --> 00:33:15.005
um, the suite of proposed mitigation is necessary.

607
00:33:15.945 --> 00:33:18.215
Thank you, Mr. Gilda.
608
00:33:20.345 --> 00:33:24.015
Thank you, sir. I'm trying to not extend the,
609
00:33:24.195 --> 00:33:25.855
the conversation about the operation in
610
00:33:25.855 --> 00:33:26.895
this junction much further.
611
00:33:27.675 --> 00:33:29.895
Can I raise one other point, which I know
612
00:33:29.895 --> 00:33:32.975
that Save Honey Hill have already raised, which is
613
00:33:32.975 --> 00:33:37.775
that in schedule nine of the draft DCO, um, part two,
614
00:33:38.555 --> 00:33:40.135
it specifies three kinds
615
00:33:40.135 --> 00:33:42.615
of prohibited movements at that junction.
616
00:33:44.275 --> 00:33:47.135
And we have already said,
617
00:33:47.155 --> 00:33:50.975
and I'm going to repeat here sir, that the third of one
618
00:33:50.975 --> 00:33:54.735
of those, which is, and I'll read it to you no right,
619
00:33:54.805 --> 00:33:57.295
turn into the proposed wastewater treatment plant
620
00:33:57.955 --> 00:34:00.255

```
access from the B 1 0 4 7
6 2 1
00:34:00.395 --> 00:34:04.835
and Horing Sea Road southbound at the point shown
622
00:34:04.835 --> 00:34:06.075
with a pink circle.
6 2 3
00:34:07.575 --> 00:34:12.555
Now that I think I'm correct in saying so,
624
00:34:12.855 --> 00:34:15.275
um, can I please ask the applicants
6 2 5
00:34:15.275 --> 00:34:17.355
to look at those three specifications?
626
00:34:17.715 --> 00:34:19.115
'cause I believe that the third one
6 2 7
00:34:19.115 --> 00:34:21.395
of those should say northbound and not southbound.
628
00:34:22.145 --> 00:34:24.055
Thank you for pointing that out, applicant.
629
00:34:26.705 --> 00:34:29.325
Yes, if that's the drafting it should say northbound. Yeah.
6 3 0
00:34:30.585 --> 00:34:31.725
Thanks. Thank you. We'll,
6 3 1
00:34:31.725 --> 00:34:32.885
we'll add that to the action point.
632
00:34:34.675 --> 00:34:38.725
Yeah. Okay. I believe my colleagues telling me you've
6 3 3
00:34:38.725 --> 00:34:40.085
already picked up this issue
```

634
00:34:40.625 --> 00:34:42.245
and you've said it would be changed
635
00:34:43.145 --> 00:34:45.045
in a previous submission to the xa.
636
00:34:46.505 --> 00:34:49.215
Thank you. Let's move on then
637
00:34:49.315 --> 00:34:52.015
to, sorry, Ms. Cotton.
638
00:34:52.745 --> 00:34:53.975
Sorry, just very quickly
639
00:34:54.295 --> 00:34:56.975
'cause it does affect, uh, um, access
640
00:34:56.975 --> 00:34:58.095
to the transfer tunnel,
641
00:34:58.425 --> 00:35:00.775
which is just a little bit further on from that junction.
642
00:35:01.195 --> 00:35:03.655
And forgive me for not looking at this in great detail,
643
00:35:03.875 --> 00:35:06.935
as in coming to knowing whether you've answered it or not.
644
00:35:07.115 --> 00:35:10.695
Um, angling water, just my concern, uh, about, uh,
645
00:35:10.885 --> 00:35:12.495
traffic, uh, backing up.
646
00:35:12.875 --> 00:35:16.015
Um, if you are sitting at that junction just beyond
647
00:35:16.015 --> 00:35:19.415

```
that junction, you're waiting to turn right in order to uh,
648
00:35:19.595 --> 00:35:22.255
uh, get to the transfer tunnel, that, that is then going
6 4 9
00:35:22.255 --> 00:35:24.575
to back up over, over the junction.
6 5 0
00:35:24.635 --> 00:35:26.415
Do you appreciate? I do. 'cause you're waiting for,
6 5 1
00:35:26.595 --> 00:35:29.535
for traffic coming up from F ton in order to be able
6 5 2
00:35:29.535 --> 00:35:32.655
to turn in and the consequences behind you, uh,
6 5 3
00:35:32.995 --> 00:35:34.495
um, yeah, it can really
654
00:35:34.495 --> 00:35:35.495
Build up. We, we haven't
655
00:35:35.495 --> 00:35:36.255
discussed that today.
656
00:35:36.375 --> 00:35:38.965
I was, I was purely focusing on the operational phase.
657
00:35:39.635 --> 00:35:43.445
That point has been covered in previous, um, X Qs.
658
00:35:43.545 --> 00:35:47.645
So we, at XQ one, we did look at construction phase traffic.
659
00:35:47.835 --> 00:35:49.605
Okay. Apologies for That's fine, thank you.
6 6 0
00:35:49.845 --> 00:35:51.365
Suddenly popped into my mind and I thought I mentioned it.
```

661
00:35:51.365 --> 00:35:52.565
Thank you. Thank you Mr. Gilda.
662
00:35:54.455 --> 00:35:56.875
Yes, thank you Sarah. I should perhaps have said
663
00:35:56.935 --> 00:35:59.755
before going onto that very detailed point about, um,
664
00:36:00.445 --> 00:36:03.185
about Schedule nine that I, um,
665
00:36:03.655 --> 00:36:06.745
clearly there's been a discussion about the adequacy
666
00:36:06.745 --> 00:36:09.425
of A NPR and whether it could be enforced.
667
00:36:09.765 --> 00:36:14.455
Um, and I am happy to concur, I think with Ms.
668
00:36:14.615 --> 00:36:17.095
Tuttle's view that using A NPR
669
00:36:17.115 --> 00:36:20.215
to manage private car movements isn't likely
670
00:36:20.315 --> 00:36:23.735
to be a practical, um, proposition for a number of reasons.
671
00:36:23.915 --> 00:36:26.695
Not only the GDPR um, issue,
672
00:36:26.715 --> 00:36:28.735
but also clearly the whole question of
673
00:36:29.255 --> 00:36:32.215
identifying which vehicles they are and who, who
674
00:36:32.435 --> 00:36:33.655
and what status there.
675
00:36:33.845 --> 00:36:36.535
They have probably, almost certainly means that you have
676
00:36:36.535 --> 00:36:40.335
to look at every, every, um, event that happens
677
00:36:40.365 --> 00:36:41.495
that shouldn't have happened,
678
00:36:41.835 --> 00:36:43.855
and then track down the drivers and the owners
679
00:36:43.915 --> 00:36:45.135
and find out what's going on.
680
00:36:45.635 --> 00:36:48.055
Um, I think it is critical for the,
681
00:36:48.615 --> 00:36:51.815
I think the position from Horing SEA residents point of view
682
00:36:52.595 --> 00:36:56.175
is that the small number of private cars that might come
683
00:36:56.175 --> 00:36:59.295
through hoing seat in contravention of the, um,
684
00:37:00.145 --> 00:37:03.865
applicant's policy and in contravention
685
00:37:03.885 --> 00:37:05.785
of the physical measures as far as they go
686
00:37:05.885 --> 00:37:09.345
to prevent left turn and right turn movements, um, isn't,
687
00:37:09.555 --> 00:37:10.985
isn't a significant issue.

688
00:37:11.305 --> 00:37:15.225
I, I think we would suggest that whilst it's desirable,
689
00:37:15.445 --> 00:37:18.305
the employees use the roots that are being proposed,
690
00:37:18.455 --> 00:37:20.465
it's not a substantial issue in terms
691
00:37:20.485 --> 00:37:22.625
of potential impacts in the village
692
00:37:22.655 --> 00:37:24.705
because we are looking at a handful of cars.
693
00:37:25.455 --> 00:37:28.685
Thank you. Okay,
694
00:37:28.755 --> 00:37:32.365
just a few more questions on, um, different areas
695
00:37:32.425 --> 00:37:33.565
of mitigation here.
696
00:37:34.445 --> 00:37:39.045
Question for the applicant in, um, response to XQ one
697
00:37:39.565 --> 00:37:41.125
question 4.5,
698
00:37:42.145 --> 00:37:46.165
and this is in document rep one dash 134.
699
00:37:48.815 --> 00:37:51.875
The county council is, um, put a request,
700
00:37:52.155 --> 00:37:53.435
I don't think it's a requirement,
701
00:37:53.455 --> 00:37:56.995

```
but a request for Euro six vehicles
702
00:37:57.135 --> 00:37:58.395
to assist with air quality.
7 0 3
00:37:59.455 --> 00:38:03.555
Um, there isn't any corresponding issue rela, um,
7 0 4
00:38:03.695 --> 00:38:06.755
raised in relation to air quality issues
7 0 5
00:38:07.265 --> 00:38:08.795
arising from the development.
706
00:38:09.195 --> 00:38:12.835
I wonder if you could give us your position on whether
7 0 7
00:38:14.705 --> 00:38:16.795
this request should be adhere to or not.
708
00:38:20.225 --> 00:38:23.405
Sir, I'll start with an answer on this and if Mr.
7 0 9
00:38:23.625 --> 00:38:27.365
Dexter needs to come in to assist you further, um,
7 1 0
00:38:27.545 --> 00:38:29.925
he can do, um, so
7 1 1
00:38:31.005 --> 00:38:34.725
angling water can't make a commitment to this.
7 1 2
00:38:35.665 --> 00:38:37.765
Um, essentially
7 1 3
00:38:38.075 --> 00:38:42.765
because of the complexities of trying to deal with it, uh,
7 1 4
00:38:42.905 --> 00:38:47.405
across a supply chain, um, including a supply chain
```

715
00:38:47.405 --> 00:38:50.925
of quite specialized materials, uh, in respect
716
00:38:50.925 --> 00:38:54.885
of which there may be, um, actually no choice of supplier.
717
00:38:55.825 --> 00:38:59.325
Um, Anglican water themselves, uh,
718
00:38:59.745 --> 00:39:03.325
now have a blended, um, fleet.
719
00:39:04.665 --> 00:39:07.485
Of course, um, measures
720
00:39:07.795 --> 00:39:12.285
that central government will be taking over over the next
721
00:39:12.345 --> 00:39:16.285
few years on this, um, are likely to bite on everybody,
722
00:39:16.285 --> 00:39:19.685
including that complex, uh, supply chain.
723
00:39:20.425 --> 00:39:25.165
And the, the, um, CMRP, um, sorry,
724
00:39:25.705 --> 00:39:27.205
oh, I've got the mnemonic wrong.
725
00:39:27.905 --> 00:39:31.125
The, um, management plan,
726
00:39:31.765 --> 00:39:36.085
construction management plan secured by requirement 19, um,
727
00:39:36.395 --> 00:39:39.005
will be phrased as a living document.
728
00:39:39.705 --> 00:39:44.565

And so it can keep pace, uh, with improving standards.
729
00:39:45.985 --> 00:39:50.845
Uh, so, so that, that is the, um,
730
00:39:51.885 --> 00:39:52.965
angling water position on
731
00:39:52.965 --> 00:39:57.085
that CTMP was the monic I'm trying to think of. Thank you.
732
00:39:57.175 --> 00:39:58.405
Thank you. County
733
00:40:03.845 --> 00:40:04.985
CAR can deal with this.
734
00:40:05.115 --> 00:40:06.115
Thank you.
735
00:40:09.485 --> 00:40:11.705
Um, yes, David Crawford, chemistry County Council.
736
00:40:12.005 --> 00:40:14.705
Um, yeah, it's, it, it, it's the point we normally raise.
737
00:40:15.205 --> 00:40:19.925
We do encourage, um, applicants for major developments
738
00:40:19.985 --> 00:40:22.925
to, um, reach as high standards
739
00:40:22.925 --> 00:40:24.285
as possible with their vehicles.
740
00:40:24.545 --> 00:40:26.925
Um, and there's benefits, uh, obviously
741
00:40:26.925 --> 00:40:28.205
with pollution, et cetera.

742
00:40:28.265 --> 00:40:31.805
So, so we, we encourage it and promote it as much as we can.
743
00:40:32.395 --> 00:40:35.085
Does encourage mean that the XA should recommend
744
00:40:35.595 --> 00:40:37.485
something in the, the report
745
00:40:37.665 --> 00:40:39.765
or in the DCO to the Secretary of State?
746
00:40:44.565 --> 00:40:45.625
Or is it sufficient
747
00:40:45.625 --> 00:40:49.505
that ang in water know the county's position on it?
748
00:40:51.185 --> 00:40:53.835
Yeah, I, I think I'll test that a little bit further,
749
00:40:53.935 --> 00:40:56.875
but for now I'm satisfied with the applicant's response.
750
00:40:57.385 --> 00:40:58.555
Okay, thank you.
751
00:40:58.615 --> 00:41:01.395
So again, I guess you'll be coming back
752
00:41:01.395 --> 00:41:03.195
to us a deadline for on that screen.
753
00:41:03.195 --> 00:41:03.955
Yes, we can be, yes.
754
00:41:08.225 --> 00:41:13.055
Thank you. In terms of,
755
00:41:13.235 --> 00:41:17.675

```
um, wider mitigation relevant representation,
756
00:41:18.135 --> 00:41:21.735
200 suggested a range of
7 5 7
00:41:22.915 --> 00:41:25.835
measures such as improved buses to mitigate
7 5 8
00:41:26.915 --> 00:41:30.325
against the Northeast Cambridge development impacts
7 5 9
00:41:31.265 --> 00:41:32.525
the applicant's response.
7 6 0
00:41:32.635 --> 00:41:37.475
This was, um, question 2091 of
7 6 1
00:41:38.015 --> 00:41:41.195
ex Q1 was that that's outside
7 6 2
00:41:41.215 --> 00:41:43.515
of the applicant's jurisdiction.
7 6 3
00:41:44.545 --> 00:41:49.015
Could you just explain a little bit more about why it's
7 6 4
00:41:49.015 --> 00:41:51.375
outside of the, the applicant's jurisdiction?
7 6 5
00:41:51.435 --> 00:41:52.435
Please?
7 6 6
00:41:57.365 --> 00:41:59.145
Yes, sir. I'll start,
7 6 7
00:41:59.725 --> 00:42:02.715
and if I'm not hitting the point,
7 6 8
00:42:02.865 --> 00:42:05.475
then I'll pass it on to somebody else.
```

```
7 6 9
00:42:06.415 --> 00:42:08.955
But, so in short,
70
00:42:08.955 --> 00:42:12.475
because the development of the vacated site is not part
71
00:42:12.495 --> 00:42:15.995
of the DCO in a nutshell is the point,
772
00:42:27.835 --> 00:42:31.635
So that's an impact arising from
773
00:42:31.635 --> 00:42:34.835
that development down the line that's not connected to this.
74
00:42:34.935 --> 00:42:38.995
Yes. So are you asking us to disregard impact such as that?
75
00:42:43.185 --> 00:42:46.525
Yes, sir. And they will be taken into account
776
00:42:47.985 --> 00:42:50.205
in the broadest, uh, procedural
77
00:42:50.205 --> 00:42:54.645
and substantive sense of that phrase, uh, through the,
78
00:42:55.265 --> 00:42:59.005
uh, 1990, uh, planning Act process.
79
00:42:59.535 --> 00:43:02.405
Thank you. And if we disregard impact,
780
00:43:02.505 --> 00:43:03.845
do we disregard benefits?
781
00:43:05.505 --> 00:43:08.525
So, no, because the, um,
782
00:43:09.565 --> 00:43:13.415
```

```
what is within the scope of the DCO is the creation
7 8 3
00:43:13.475 --> 00:43:18.215
of the opportunity, uh, the opportunity of the,
78
00:43:18.595 --> 00:43:23.025
uh, cleared site, uh, which, uh, can then,
7 8 5
00:43:23.965 --> 00:43:28.785
uh, fulfill the long identified planning
76
00:43:29.325 --> 00:43:33.185
desire, uh, for it to contribute
78
00:43:33.485 --> 00:43:37.585
to Cambridge's needs, uh, in terms
78
00:43:37.605 --> 00:43:41.025
of both housing and, uh, employment,
7 8 9
00:43:41.025 --> 00:43:43.785
including the highly specialized Cambridge employment.
7 9 0
00:43:44.725 --> 00:43:47.865
Uh, and it, it, it is, um,
7 9 1
00:43:48.175 --> 00:43:49.865
fundamental to the DCO.
7 9 2
00:43:50.325 --> 00:43:54.265
We say, uh, that that opportunity is, uh,
7 9 3
00:43:54.355 --> 00:43:56.345
taken fully into account. Thank
7 9 4
00:43:56.345 --> 00:43:57.345
You.
7 9 5
00:44:09.525 --> 00:44:12.775
Next on the, the mitigation is a suggestion, um,
```

```
7 9 6
00:44:15.165 --> 00:44:16.745
by Cambridge County Council
7 9 7
00:44:16.845 --> 00:44:19.425
and it's local impact report, which is document
7 9 8
00:44:20.125 --> 00:44:22.265
rep one dash 1 33.
7 9 9
00:44:28.425 --> 00:44:33.415
This comes in, in
800
00:44:33.655 --> 00:44:38.215
relation to operational traffic, paragraph 13.37 of the LIR,
801
00:44:39.595 --> 00:44:44.455
and the, the local Highway Authority is referring to, um,
802
00:44:46.595 --> 00:44:48.335
the ability to recover expenses
803
00:44:48.595 --> 00:44:51.415
for repairing excess damage caused to the highway
804
00:44:52.715 --> 00:44:54.535
by extraordinary levels of traffic.
805
00:44:56.615 --> 00:45:00.175
Now, I suppose the first question there is, does the
806
00:45:01.035 --> 00:45:04.925
Highway Authority already recover any money from Anglia
807
00:45:04.925 --> 00:45:08.725
Water as a consequence of the operation of the
808
00:45:09.805 --> 00:45:12.245
existing wastewater treatment plant on Cowley Road?
809
00:45:23.575 --> 00:45:24.995
```

```
Should we go to county first?
810
00:45:28.365 --> 00:45:30.195
David Canford came to County Council.
811
00:45:30.495 --> 00:45:32.595
Um, I'm just trying to, uh, consult
812
00:45:32.595 --> 00:45:34.515
with my colleagues at the moment on, on that one,
813
00:45:34.515 --> 00:45:35.755
and guess an answer to you on that?
814
00:45:36.175 --> 00:45:37.175
Um,
815
00:45:38.655 --> 00:45:40.585
Well, what, I don't need an answer right now.
816
00:45:40.795 --> 00:45:42.145
Again, a deadline for, yeah,
817
00:45:42.145 --> 00:45:44.225
It might be take it away, I Think just to confirm.
818
00:45:44.245 --> 00:45:47.345
Um, but the, the next question I would like a response
819
00:45:47.455 --> 00:45:52.365
alongside it is if you aren't already asking
820
00:45:52.505 --> 00:45:55.285
for money from Ang and Water for road damage,
821
00:45:56.585 --> 00:46:01.035
and as it as it suggests in the application document
822
00:46:02.835 --> 00:46:04.035
documentation, I beg your pardon.
```

```
823
00:46:04.465 --> 00:46:06.755
This is merely a redistribution of traffic.
824
00:46:08.795 --> 00:46:12.415
Why should Anglia Water be paying the county
825
00:46:12.555 --> 00:46:16.175
for road damage, um, in association
826
00:46:16.175 --> 00:46:17.415
with this new development?
827
00:46:21.065 --> 00:46:22.205
The third point,
828
00:46:22.205 --> 00:46:25.805
and this is where National Highways comes in, um,
829
00:46:25.985 --> 00:46:30.505
as I understand it, there'll be very little traffic to the,
830
00:46:31.395 --> 00:46:34.095
um, wastewater treatment plant on the
831
00:46:34.845 --> 00:46:36.295
Cambridge County network
832
00:46:37.265 --> 00:46:38.995
because we've been told
833
00:46:38.995 --> 00:46:41.795
that the majority would come off the strategic network
834
00:46:42.055 --> 00:46:43.755
at Junction 34.
835
00:46:44.815 --> 00:46:48.395
Um, so I'd invite comments from National Highways
836
00:46:49.255 --> 00:46:50.355
```

```
on this point as well
837
00:46:50.415 --> 00:46:54.555
and whether this is a, um, a justifiable request.
838
00:47:01.215 --> 00:47:03.435
So thank you Sarah Marshall Natural Highways,
839
00:47:03.775 --> 00:47:05.595
we will provide a detailed response to that
840
00:47:05.595 --> 00:47:06.795
for deadline for thank you.
84
00:47:06.795 --> 00:47:08.195
That is okay with the panel. Thank you.
842
00:47:08.655 --> 00:47:10.355
Is there anything the applicant would like
843
00:47:10.355 --> 00:47:12.155
to come back on on that point,
844
00:47:17.925 --> 00:47:18.925
Sir? I, I,
845
00:47:18.925 --> 00:47:22.625
I did my very unsophisticated looking round,
846
00:47:23.325 --> 00:47:25.785
um, in answer to your first question,
847
00:47:25.885 --> 00:47:28.585
and I was getting some shakes of the head.
848
00:47:29.185 --> 00:47:32.805
Uh, we will, um, formally check that, but,
849
00:47:33.105 --> 00:47:36.125
but, um, it, it looks as though, uh,
```

```
850
00:47:36.345 --> 00:47:38.165
no charges are made at the moment.
851
00:47:38.625 --> 00:47:42.965
And, um, in short, sir, uh, I, I think we endorse,
852
00:47:43.585 --> 00:47:47.205
uh, the, the line of thought that you were setting out in
853
00:47:47.405 --> 00:47:48.685
that little series of questions.
854
00:47:49.795 --> 00:47:51.185
Thank you. Well, we'll wait to see
855
00:47:51.185 --> 00:47:53.345
what the highway's authorities come back with.
856
00:47:54.725 --> 00:47:59.665
Moving on now, um, I'd like to explore parking provision
857
00:47:59.665 --> 00:48:02.545
that includes for vehicles, bicycles,
858
00:48:02.545 --> 00:48:03.865
and also for electric vehicles.
859
00:48:07.865 --> 00:48:10.525
I'd just like to be clear, first of all, what
860
00:48:11.105 --> 00:48:12.165
is being applied for?
861
00:48:13.055 --> 00:48:14.595
So can we turn up document
862
00:48:14.615 --> 00:48:18.345
to rep 1 0 7 9 please?
863
00:48:26.105 --> 00:48:28.655
```

```
And if we look at the response
864
00:48:28.755 --> 00:48:32.215
to question 20.89,
865
00:49:13.675 --> 00:49:14.095
are we there?
866
00:49:18.675 --> 00:49:21.785
Thank you. It's a response to Part F, so you might need
867
00:49:21.785 --> 00:49:23.545
to, there we go.
868
00:49:23.915 --> 00:49:28.065
Thank you. And it says that the, the applicant confirms
869
00:49:28.735 --> 00:49:31.665
that 71 parking spaces for the gateway
870
00:49:32.485 --> 00:49:34.305
and workshop buildings will be
871
00:49:34.545 --> 00:49:38.855
provided, doesn't make any distinction of
872
00:49:41.045 --> 00:49:45.365
what the, um, the type of space is, whether it's for cars
873
00:49:45.505 --> 00:49:47.365
or vans or whatever.
874
00:49:48.465 --> 00:49:50.485
And then it explains that the provision
875
00:49:50.485 --> 00:49:53.845
of 71 spaces is also designed to prevent staff
876
00:49:54.305 --> 00:49:56.605
and visitors from parking on surrounding roads.
```

```
877
00:49:59.225 --> 00:50:00.875
Next, could we turn up
878
00:50:02.195 --> 00:50:05.115
document rep 3 0 0 3 please?
879
00:50:10.235 --> 00:50:14.615
And this is the draft DCO. And if we go to page 108,
880
00:51:02.285 --> 00:51:02.755
thank you.
881
00:51:05.305 --> 00:51:10.005
So that should tell us, um, the number
882
00:51:10.005 --> 00:51:13.865
of parking spaces if we, are we, there we go.
883
00:51:13.915 --> 00:51:18.745
Thank you. And that tells us that there would be 71 spaces
884
00:51:18.925 --> 00:51:20.105
for operational staff
885
00:51:20.925 --> 00:51:24.705
and then 10 visitor vehicle spaces on excluding the
886
00:51:26.205 --> 00:51:29.025
LS trailers, coach parking, and so on.
887
00:51:29.325 --> 00:51:33.305
So I make that }81\mathrm{ spaces in the the draft DCO.
888
00:51:37.745 --> 00:51:41.925
And then could we turn up, please document as 1, 1 1,
889
00:51:48.595 --> 00:51:50.495
and this is the OLTP.
890
00:51:52.315 --> 00:51:56.935
```

```
And please go to paragraph 4.1. Point nine.
891
00:52:31.815 --> 00:52:35.285
Thank you. And that tells us we've got, um, 10 spaces
892
00:52:35.425 --> 00:52:39.165
for cars used by angling water services staff
893
00:52:39.745 --> 00:52:40.765
or visitor park,
894
00:52:40.785 --> 00:52:43.205
and for visitor parking rather, 10 spaces
895
00:52:43.385 --> 00:52:48.125
for Anglia water services vans, 51 spaces for cars, um,
896
00:52:48.185 --> 00:52:49.365
for RES staff
897
00:52:50.105 --> 00:52:53.645
and, um, 20 visitor center car parking spaces.
898
00:52:54.245 --> 00:52:55.845
I make that a total of 91,
899
00:52:55.865 --> 00:52:59.765
and that's not including the 10 in the following paragraph,
900
00:52:59.765 --> 00:53:02.885
which we've got 10 spaces for Ang
901
00:53:03.775 --> 00:53:05.845
Water Services vans.
902
00:53:06.385 --> 00:53:08.645
So we've got 71, 81
903
00:53:08.645 --> 00:53:12.145
and 91 in the application documentation.
```

```
904
00:53:12.405 --> 00:53:17.345
And, um, ES chapter two, which is a description
905
00:53:17.385 --> 00:53:21.005
of the development sets up, we don't need to turn it up,
906
00:53:21.025 --> 00:53:24.925
but it's table 1.2 3 81 spaces.
907
00:53:26.315 --> 00:53:30.895
So could somebody, um, clarify please the, the number
908
00:53:30.895 --> 00:53:32.615
of spaces that's being applied for?
909
00:53:38.835 --> 00:53:41.425
Sorry, so which table in the project description?
910
00:53:41.725 --> 00:53:46.705
It is table 1, 2, 3 on page 40 of document
911
00:53:47.365 --> 00:53:50.225
rep three dash seven.
912
00:53:50.395 --> 00:53:50.865
Thank you.
913
00:54:08.845 --> 00:54:10.225
Uh, Gary makes for the applicant.
914
00:54:10.605 --> 00:54:12.745
Um, yeah, just to, I think confirming
915
00:54:12.745 --> 00:54:16.065
that we've based the parking calculator, we're based the,
916
00:54:16.065 --> 00:54:18.465
the maximum parking standards on, uh, on,
917
00:54:18.465 --> 00:54:21.905
```

```
on South Cambridge's, um, standard on that building, which,
918
00:54:21.905 --> 00:54:24.105
which means that we, we wouldn't need,
919
00:54:24.205 --> 00:54:25.585
we would want 71 spaces.
920
00:54:25.885 --> 00:54:28.545
Uh, so 71 is the correct number on that one.
921
00:54:29.825 --> 00:54:32.525
So why does the draft DCOC 81,
922
00:54:33.435 --> 00:54:35.615
Um, I'm gonna, I'll have to take that away and check that,
923
00:54:35.815 --> 00:54:38.255
'cause that 71 is the, the number of spaces we should be.
924
00:54:39.395 --> 00:54:41.655
I'm, I'm just curious why we've got to this stage
925
00:54:41.655 --> 00:54:44.135
and we've got three different numbers
926
00:54:44.135 --> 00:54:46.215
through the application documentation
927
00:54:46.555 --> 00:54:50.735
and, um, I would've thought that, um,
928
00:54:50.965 --> 00:54:54.015
it's a pretty fundamental part of the development
929
00:54:54.075 --> 00:54:55.775
to have clarity on at this stage.
930
00:54:58.625 --> 00:55:00.325
Uh, and the point notice, um,
```

```
931
00:55:00.585 --> 00:55:03.125
and, uh, I'll, we'll take that one away and answer,
932
00:55:03.345 --> 00:55:05.405
but I think the key point on the, the number
933
00:55:05.405 --> 00:55:08.965
of parking spaces was that the total number
934
00:55:08.965 --> 00:55:11.085
of parking spaces was meant to represent a,
935
00:55:11.205 --> 00:55:13.005
a reasonable worst case, uh,
936
00:55:13.005 --> 00:55:14.285
and that the actual use
937
00:55:14.285 --> 00:55:16.845
of those parking spaces will be dictated by the travel plan.
938
00:55:16.905 --> 00:55:18.245
And those, um,
939
00:55:18.405 --> 00:55:21.885
measures indicated the pgan travel plan can reduce those
940
00:55:21.885 --> 00:55:25.325
numbers down to a, um, to a, to a required level.
941
00:55:25.505 --> 00:55:27.165
So I think, um,
942
00:55:27.305 --> 00:55:28.885
noting the differences in numbers set out in
943
00:55:28.885 --> 00:55:30.005
the document, I appreciate that.
944
00:55:30.105 --> 00:55:33.405
```

```
Um, but, uh, in terms of the actual number of spaces,
945
00:55:33.405 --> 00:55:35.765
like I said, that will be managed through our, um, our
946
00:55:36.315 --> 00:55:37.765
operational workers travel plan.
947
00:55:37.785 --> 00:55:39.125
And so the, the required number
948
00:55:39.125 --> 00:55:41.085
of spaces can be finalized with that process.
949
00:55:41.455 --> 00:55:42.885
Thank you. I've got those points.
950
00:55:43.265 --> 00:55:48.035
Um, and of course, um, we need to be clear whether,
951
00:55:48.935 --> 00:55:51.555
if there is an error somewhere in the documentation
952
00:55:51.555 --> 00:55:53.435
of change request needs to be made.
953
00:55:55.775 --> 00:55:59.875
Um, sir, this is
954
00:56:00.535 --> 00:56:04.995
not something which, um, those of us sitting here can, um,
955
00:56:05.145 --> 00:56:07.875
respond to you immediately on without taking instructions.
956
00:56:07.935 --> 00:56:12.555
It clearly requires, um, careful thought across the team
957
00:56:12.855 --> 00:56:14.195
and with client input.
```

```
958
00:56:14.815 --> 00:56:16.475
Uh, but we will respond
959
00:56:16.695 --> 00:56:20.155
and we will deal with, uh, any change requests
960
00:56:20.655 --> 00:56:22.595
and consequential amendments of
961
00:56:23.005 --> 00:56:24.685
Documents at stage four submission.
962
00:56:24.975 --> 00:56:26.005
Thank you. Thank you.
963
00:56:26.665 --> 00:56:28.845
And would that take account of any changes
964
00:56:28.945 --> 00:56:30.565
to assessments as well, presumably?
965
00:56:31.095 --> 00:56:35.045
Thank you. Well, I'll proceed on the basis
966
00:56:35.115 --> 00:56:38.165
that we've got a minimum of, of 71.
967
00:56:38.985 --> 00:56:40.085
Uh, Mr. Gilda,
968
00:56:44.175 --> 00:56:46.135
Ian Gilford, save Honey Hill.
969
00:56:46.515 --> 00:56:49.015
Um, I'm worried slightly, sir, that
970
00:56:49.895 --> 00:56:53.495
a point is being missed here, that the provision
971
00:56:53.495 --> 00:56:55.575
```

```
of parking has been made against the South
972
00:56:55.575 --> 00:56:57.095
Cambridge District Council standards.
973
00:56:57.095 --> 00:57:00.815
You've also asked and had delivered to you a lot
974
00:57:00.815 --> 00:57:02.695
of detail about the working arrangements
975
00:57:02.835 --> 00:57:05.295
and numbers of staff that are going
976
00:57:05.295 --> 00:57:07.535
to actually be deployed to this site. Oh,
977
00:57:07.535 --> 00:57:10.535
Look, my subsequent questions, you haven't heard them yet,
978
00:57:10.675 --> 00:57:12.335
so perhaps could you wait
979
00:57:12.425 --> 00:57:14.375
until I've gone through those questions? Of
980
00:57:14.375 --> 00:57:15.375
Course I can, sir. Um,
981
00:57:15.375 --> 00:57:17.295
we'll see where we get to. Thank you.
982
00:57:21.745 --> 00:57:23.325
So the next point is I would like
983
00:57:23.325 --> 00:57:25.485
to establish staff numbers at the site
984
00:57:26.075 --> 00:57:29.525
because of course there's a, a relationship between the need
```

```
985
00:57:29.545 --> 00:57:32.085
for car parking and staff numbers.
986
00:57:34.685 --> 00:57:38.665
If we look at document rep 1 0 7 9,
987
00:57:40.335 --> 00:57:43.475
and this is the applicant's response to
988
00:57:44.115 --> 00:57:46.875
XQ one 20.89,
989
00:57:50.025 --> 00:57:52.285
the applicant's told us that the,
9 9 0
00:57:52.345 --> 00:57:56.045
the 46 vehicles do not represent 55%
991
00:57:56.045 --> 00:57:57.405
of the tox number of stuff on site.
992
00:57:58.175 --> 00:58:01.885
These 46 vehicles would represent a hundred percent
993
00:58:02.305 --> 00:58:05.245
of the total staff on site plus visitors,
994
00:58:06.425 --> 00:58:10.045
as per the worst case scenario set out in table
995
00:58:10.985 --> 00:58:13.685
6.1 of the operational workers' travel plan.
996
00:58:16.215 --> 00:58:19.145
Then the response to
997
00:58:21.955 --> 00:58:24.835
question ex Q1
998
00:58:25.695 --> 00:58:30.355
```

```
2 0 8 7 \text { tells us that it should be noted}
999
00:58:30.355 --> 00:58:34.155
that 30 office workers stated in table 5.1
1000
00:58:34.155 --> 00:58:37.835
of the environmental statement is the expected maximum
1001
00:58:37.855 --> 00:58:40.235
number of office workers using the facility.
1002
00:58:40.975 --> 00:58:43.555
So I presume that there may be a pool
1003
00:58:43.575 --> 00:58:44.995
of more than 30 workers,
1004
00:58:45.255 --> 00:58:48.435
but only 30 will be on site at any one time.
1005
00:58:48.535 --> 00:58:53.065
Is that correct? Uh,
1006
00:58:53.065 --> 00:58:54.505
Gavin next to applicant? Yes, that's correct.
1007
00:58:54.835 --> 00:58:56.345
Thank you. Okay.
1008
00:58:56.405 --> 00:59:00.745
Can we turn up, and this is um, rep 1 0 7 9.
1009
00:59:00.745 --> 00:59:05.305
Again, it's the response applicant's responses to EX Q1,
1010
00:59:06.445 --> 00:59:10.005
the response to question
1011
00:59:10.505 --> 00:59:11.885
20.87.
```

1012
00:59:28.605 --> 00:59:31.585
I'm so sorry, sir. Um, could you just give me a moment?
1013
00:59:31.725 --> 00:59:33.785
Um, of course, I think I'm being told
1014
00:59:33.815 --> 00:59:38.185
that the last answer you were given, uh, may need amending.
1015
00:59:38.235 --> 00:59:39.185
Thank you. I just,
1016
00:59:51.925 --> 00:59:52.505
so Mr.
1017
00:59:52.845 --> 00:59:56.025
Dexter just wishes to, um, add a,
1018
00:59:57.385 --> 00:59:58.945
a supplemental qualification
1019
00:59:59.005 --> 01:00:01.905
to the last answer for completeness.
1020
01:00:03.655 --> 01:00:05.515
Um, my Dexter for the applicant, um,
1021
01:00:05.515 --> 01:00:08.115
just minor clarification on a confirmation,
1022
01:00:08.115 --> 01:00:09.635
just there's 30 office workers,
1023
01:00:09.735 --> 01:00:12.955
but we need to add the other, um, workers to the site,
1024
01:00:13.375 --> 01:00:14.675
uh, to that number as well.
1025
01:00:14.855 --> 01:00:15.915

Of course, yes, which are
1026
01:00:15.915 --> 01:00:16.915
In there. So, uh, mine,
1027
01:00:16.915 --> 01:00:18.675
we, we may be a quick hasty to,
1028
01:00:18.975 --> 01:00:20.395
to confirm the 30 office workers,
1029
01:00:20.455 --> 01:00:22.435
but actually the amounts of staff in there, yeah,
1030
01:00:22.435 --> 01:00:24.555
the offices is, is greater than that. Sorry,
1031
01:00:24.555 --> 01:00:26.915
Just to give you comfort, I was just looking at
1032
01:00:26.915 --> 01:00:29.275
that single line for the office workers,
1033
01:00:29.415 --> 01:00:32.115
but thank you for the clarification there.
1034
01:00:32.855 --> 01:00:36.715
So I, we've got some screen now, the response to question
1035
01:00:37.535 --> 01:00:42.235
2087 in EX Q1, which tells us that
1036
01:00:43.055 --> 01:00:45.475
we see that figure of 30 again there,
1037
01:00:45.655 --> 01:00:47.835
and that's just that line $I$ was referring to.
1038
01:00:48.155 --> 01:00:49.915
I don't think the screen had caught up when the,

```
1039
01:00:49.915 --> 01:00:50.915
the last answer was given.
1040
01:00:51.975 --> 01:00:56.475
Um, and we've also got the existing breakdown of stuff
1041
01:00:56.735 --> 01:00:59.235
and it tells us that the
1042
01:00:59.935 --> 01:01:02.915
is eight operation, daytime stuff,
1043
01:01:03.975 --> 01:01:07.675
two operations process controllers, four shift technicians,
1044
01:01:08.465 --> 01:01:11.195
four mechanical and electrical specialists,
1045
01:01:11.695 --> 01:01:13.075
and eight office staff.
1046
01:01:14.955 --> 01:01:19.535
Now, when I read that, I recalled our discussion at,
1047
01:01:19.755 --> 01:01:23.255
um, issue specific hearing too, where Mr.
1048
01:01:23.475 --> 01:01:26.815
Cormey told me that }30\mathrm{ staff would be transferred from
1049
01:01:27.355 --> 01:01:31.535
the 30 number would be transferred from the
1050
01:01:32.095 --> 01:01:33.575
existing wastewater treatment plant.
1051
01:01:34.755 --> 01:01:37.295
Now, I'm finding that difficult to reconcile
1052
01:01:37.295 --> 01:01:38.655
```

```
with the numbers presented here.
1053
01:01:39.795 --> 01:01:43.895
Um, that there, as I understood it,
1054
01:01:43.895 --> 01:01:47.095
there would be no change in the staffing numbers
1055
01:01:47.125 --> 01:01:51.535
because if we're comparing apples with apples
1056
01:01:51.535 --> 01:01:53.935
and pears with pears, then I would expect
1057
01:01:53.935 --> 01:01:55.935
that we can compare eight with 30 here.
1058
01:01:57.525 --> 01:02:00.465
So could you give me an explanation of
1059
01:02:00.715 --> 01:02:03.025
where the 30 staff are coming from
1060
01:02:03.045 --> 01:02:04.945
or the, the 22 staff please?
1061
01:02:08.085 --> 01:02:09.295
Mike Dexter for the applicant.
1062
01:02:09.675 --> 01:02:13.655
Um, we have got two types
1063
01:02:13.675 --> 01:02:17.095
of staff working at the current Milton works that'll be pros
1064
01:02:17.095 --> 01:02:18.695
to be relocated to the,
1065
01:02:18.945 --> 01:02:20.405
the proposed wastewater treatment plant.
```

```
1066
01:02:20.835 --> 01:02:22.885
They are the staff operating
1067
01:02:23.105 --> 01:02:24.525
and maintaining the treatment
1068
01:02:24.525 --> 01:02:25.565
works, which are the lower numbers.
1069
01:02:26.265 --> 01:02:29.245
And we have the, what was then the RES staff.
1070
01:02:29.275 --> 01:02:31.365
They've got a new, a new title, which I've,
1071
01:02:31.415 --> 01:02:32.885
we've described in the project description.
1072
01:02:33.545 --> 01:02:36.525
Um, and, uh, other, um,
1073
01:02:38.545 --> 01:02:40.345
employees of Anglia water that have, uh,
1074
01:02:40.345 --> 01:02:41.505
related occupations,
1075
01:02:41.565 --> 01:02:45.145
but the, that are not, uh, operating the,
1076
01:02:45.165 --> 01:02:46.265
the treatment works itself.
1077
01:02:46.605 --> 01:02:51.185
So the, the, um, as I understand it, the 30
1078
01:02:51.365 --> 01:02:53.585
for the proposed includes some
1079
01:02:53.585 --> 01:02:56.155
```

```
of those staff, is that right?
```

1080
01:02:56.575 --> 01:03:00.035
The, the, the 30 is identified within the table here are,
1081
01:03:00.255 --> 01:03:02.475
uh, extra to the, uh,
1082
01:03:03.295 --> 01:03:05.315
the employees operating the, the treatment
1083
01:03:05.315 --> 01:03:06.315
Works. Okay. And what
1084
01:03:06.315 --> 01:03:09.555
about the eight on the first part, first part
1085
01:03:09.555 --> 01:03:11.795
of the table, the existing wastewater treatment plant?
1086
01:03:16.595 --> 01:03:19.205
I'll need to respond back on that point.
1087
01:03:19.465 --> 01:03:20.725
Um, I think we may,
1088
01:03:20.745 --> 01:03:23.045
we may have mixed two of our numbers together.
1089
01:03:23.515 --> 01:03:26.005
It's, it's becoming increasingly frustrating this
1090
01:03:26.005 --> 01:03:27.125
point on staff numbers.
1091
01:03:27.685 --> 01:03:32.045
I asked in ex Q1 why we couldn't have,
1092
01:03:32.585 --> 01:03:36.245
for example, a, um, a survey

```
1093
01:03:36.545 --> 01:03:38.485
of stuff travel patterns
1094
01:03:38.985 --> 01:03:40.765
and given the relatively small numbers,
1095
01:03:40.945 --> 01:03:42.765
we didn't see why that should be an issue.
1096
01:03:43.465 --> 01:03:46.565
And, um, we were told that I believe that was not possible.
1097
01:03:47.505 --> 01:03:52.365
Um, we've also specifically asked this point in
1098
01:03:53.125 --> 01:03:55.645
previous hearings and been given an answer,
1099
01:03:55.645 --> 01:03:57.805
which doesn't apparently tie up with
1100
01:03:58.945 --> 01:04:01.485
what's submitted in EX Q1.
1101
01:04:01.745 --> 01:04:04.525
And can I just go on, on this similar vein
1102
01:04:04.625 --> 01:04:08.365
to document rep 2 0 2 2 please,
1103
01:04:18.285 --> 01:04:22.905
and we'll look at paragraph 2.9 0.1 in this document.
1104
01:04:41.785 --> 01:04:42.205
Thanks.
1105
01:04:50.335 --> 01:04:52.835
And here it tells us operational staff and hours.
1106
01:04:52.845 --> 01:04:57.475
```

Thank you. It says the proposed waste water treatment plant
1107
01:04:57.485 --> 01:04:59.675
would be operated by the following staff
1108
01:05:00.145 --> 01:05:01.795
with the following operational hours.
1109
01:05:01.895 --> 01:05:04.035
And it says eight office staff, not 30.
1110
01:05:06.765 --> 01:05:09.415
That, that's correct. Um, that's to operate,
1111
01:05:10.135 --> 01:05:12.255
maintain the wastewater treatment plant.
1112
01:05:12.635 --> 01:05:15.815
The thirties identified in the table are for RES
1113
01:05:16.165 --> 01:05:20.645
that run our regional tanker fleet, uh, and septic tanker
1114
01:05:20.665 --> 01:05:21.885
and, and farm services.
1115
01:05:22.055 --> 01:05:24.965
Thank you. So, so they aren't related
1116
01:05:25.025 --> 01:05:26.525
to the operation of the site.
1117
01:05:27.275 --> 01:05:28.275
Okay.
1118
01:05:29.465 --> 01:05:30.935
Other than the tankers
1119
01:05:31.355 --> 01:05:34.775
and septic supplies that come to, um,

1120
01:05:35.205 --> 01:05:39.255
Cambridge wastewater treatment plant, they, it's a holistic
1121
01:05:40.065 --> 01:05:42.535
piece of work that's ran from our Cambridge work. Where
1122
01:05:42.535 --> 01:05:43.535
Are they now? If you've
1123
01:05:43.535 --> 01:05:45.655
only got eight people on the existing site?
1124
01:05:45.655 --> 01:05:46.655
Eight office workers,
1125
01:05:48.305 $\rightarrow$ 01:05:51.835
They're, they are, uh, a blended work at the,
1126
01:05:51.855 --> 01:05:53.115
the wastewater treatment plant today.
1127
01:05:53.215 --> 01:05:57.235
We have Milton house, um, that they are, they all work from.
1128
01:05:57.615 --> 01:06:01.035
Um, we have a number, another, a number of other parts
1129
01:06:01.035 --> 01:06:04.315
of the business that, that also, um, work, uh,
1130
01:06:04.725 --> 01:06:06.635
relatively dynamically from Milton.
1131
01:06:07.135 --> 01:06:10.675
Um, the, the workforce isn't necessarily a static number
1132
01:06:10.815 $->$ 01:06:12.995
as you rightly identified early.
1133
01:06:13.255 $\rightarrow$ 01:06:16.875

We do have a larger number of work of, of employees
1134
01:06:16.875 --> 01:06:21.555
that are registered to work from, um, Cambridge, um,
1135
01:06:21.605 --> 01:06:22.835
wastewater treatment plant.
1136
01:06:23.335 --> 01:06:25.755
Um, and they're not all there at the same time.
1137
01:06:26.295 --> 01:06:31.155
Um, but they work dynamically, um, in a more agile way as
1138
01:06:31.155 --> 01:06:33.035
as modern office practices dictate.
1139
01:06:33.605 --> 01:06:35.755
Thank you. So they could,
1140
01:06:36.655 --> 01:06:38.865
they could work from any Anglia water property,
1141
01:06:38.865 --> 01:06:41.865
could they any business property, let's say
1142
01:06:41.865 --> 01:06:43.825
that's got suitable office floor space,
1143
01:06:48.495 --> 01:06:51.225
Mike Dexter for applicant an an element may do so?
1144
01:06:51.285 --> 01:06:56.165
Yes. Um, keeping all of the, um, uh,
1145
01:06:56.425 --> 01:06:59.045
all logistics management in one place, uh,
1146
01:06:59.275 --> 01:07:01.245
does give benefits from to the business.

```
1147
01:07:01.865 --> 01:07:02.965
Uh, yeah.
1148
01:07:05.435 --> 01:07:10.045
Okay. Thank you. So let's
1149
01:07:10.425 --> 01:07:12.925
go back to, to car parking then.
1150
01:07:13.145 --> 01:07:17.965
And in response to ex Q1 2089,
1151
01:07:19.925 --> 01:07:22.025
the applicant said that, um,
1152
01:07:22.485 --> 01:07:27.345
and I'm working now on about 71 spaces,
1153
01:07:27.475 --> 01:07:29.385
we're obviously waiting for confirmation on that.
1154
01:07:30.365 --> 01:07:34.985
Um, and about 38 staff with,
1155
01:07:35.755 --> 01:07:40.265
which would go up to 46, obviously, again waiting
1156
01:07:40.365 --> 01:07:42.585
for confirmation on that, um,
1157
01:07:44.015 --> 01:07:46.105
that there'd be two spaces per staff member
1158
01:07:46.645 --> 01:07:48.265
and the difference there is
1159
01:07:48.265 --> 01:07:50.665
because some of the spaces are, some
1160
01:07:50.665 --> 01:07:52.625
```

of the numbers would be visitors and so on.
1161
01:07:53.265 --> 01:07:54.265
Yeah.
1162
01:07:55.105 --> 01:07:57.405
Um, my Dexter applicant, that's correct, sir. Thank you.
1163
01:07:57.555 --> 01:08:00.645
Also, uh, just draw your attention to the, the tankers
1164
01:08:00.675 --> 01:08:04.525
that we run, um, from, uh, the Milton wastewater tree plant
1165
01:08:04.525 --> 01:08:06.845
that need car parking spaces to arrive to site
1166
01:08:06.845 --> 01:08:09.845
to then take the, the tankers to and from the works. Thank
1167
01:08:09.845 --> 01:08:10.845
You. So they account for, I
1168
01:08:10.845 --> 01:08:12.125
believe, six to seven a day.
1169
01:08:12.225 --> 01:08:15.885
Thanks. I think that might have been included in
1170
01:08:15.885 --> 01:08:17.165
that number already.
1171
01:08:17.365 --> 01:08:21.165
I, I, I recall seeing, um, something in relation to that.
1172
01:08:21.305 --> 01:08:22.405
So we are there,
1173
01:08:22.425 --> 01:08:26.275
or thereabouts at two spaces per staff member.

1174
01:08:28.295 --> 01:08:32.795
Now, if we can, we call it please document app one four nine
1175
01:08:34.545 --> 01:08:37.365
and go to table 8.2 on that.
1176
01:09:47.755 --> 01:09:49.005
I'll do it as quickly as $I$ can.
1177
01:09:54.395 --> 01:09:56.215
If you're having difficulty calling
1178
01:09:56.215 --> 01:09:57.695
that up, I can tell you what it is.
1179
01:09:57.755 --> 01:10:01.745
If, if that helps. So Mike diff happening.
1180
01:10:01.745 --> 01:10:04.505
Could you just confirm the table number again? It's 8.2.
1181
01:10:04.615 --> 01:10:07.345
It's the staff modal split targets. Thank, thank you.
1182
01:10:07.605 --> 01:10:12.225
Thanks. And that tells us by 2020, sorry, 2033, even
1183
01:10:12.655 --> 01:10:17.065
that ENT water is aiming, the $55 \%$ of staff
1184
01:10:18.205 - -> 01:10:19.505
use a car or a van,
1185
01:10:27.035 --> 01:10:28.655
Uh, Gavin makes for the applicant? Yes, that's correct.
1186
01:10:28.945 --> 01:10:32.895
Thank you. So if this 38 staff, again,
1187
01:10:32.995 --> 01:10:36.615

```
the 46 comes with the visitors and so on, um,
1188
01:10:38.205 --> 01:10:41.595
and let's have a look.
1189
01:10:41.595 --> 01:10:43.795
25% would be on foot
1190
01:10:43.815 --> 01:10:47.115
or cycling according to those splits,
1191
01:10:47.115 --> 01:10:48.435
which would be about nine spaces.
1192
01:10:49.605 --> 01:10:54.405
Shouldn't there be say 29 spaces at the outset
1193
01:10:54.405 --> 01:10:58.465
with 21 staff spaces in
1194
01:10:58.465 --> 01:11:01.225
2033 with eight visitor spaces?
1195
01:11:04.125 --> 01:11:05.505
Uh, Gavin mix for the applicant?
1196
01:11:05.645 --> 01:11:09.575
Uh, I think, I think like I said, for what we tested
1197
01:11:09.715 --> 01:11:12.215
for the transport assessment was very much the worst case.
1198
01:11:12.395 --> 01:11:14.525
So by the, the size of the building
1199
01:11:14.905 --> 01:11:16.485
and the parking standards, we wanted
1200
01:11:16.745 --> 01:11:18.485
to ensure we had enough space provision.
```

```
1201
01:11:19.025 --> 01:11:21.045
So that's the total number of spaces we've tested,
1202
01:11:21.045 --> 01:11:22.725
which is the 71 we've stated.
1203
01:11:23.305 --> 01:11:25.115
Um, and then we've noted
1204
01:11:25.185 --> 01:11:29.195
that we have got an ambitious target to to, to move the, the
1205
01:11:30.195 --> 01:11:33.305
staff away from single car use to shared car use, walking,
1206
01:11:33.375 --> 01:11:34.625
cycling, and that's
1207
01:11:34.625 --> 01:11:37.225
what set out in the staff travel plan. Um, is
1208
01:11:37.225 --> 01:11:41.305
That normally, um, in your experience facilitated
1209
01:11:41.485 --> 01:11:43.065
by overprovision of car parking?
1210
01:11:46.455 --> 01:11:48.715
Uh, like I say, all we've, what we want to do
1211
01:11:48.715 --> 01:11:50.275
for this is test that reasonable worst case.
1212
01:11:50.275 --> 01:11:51.275
That's what the focus on.
1213
01:11:51.275 --> 01:11:52.795
Well, no, this is what you've applied for.
1214
01:11:52.795 --> 01:11:54.515
```

```
Yeah. And it's not what You've tested.
1215
01:11:55.615 --> 01:11:56.795
And then this is the,
1216
01:11:56.855 --> 01:11:59.795
the measures in the transport plan would move those, um,
1217
01:11:59.985 --> 01:12:01.355
move those number of spaces down.
1218
01:12:01.405 --> 01:12:04.995
Again, that's the, the applicant has agreed to, um, uh,
1219
01:12:05.295 --> 01:12:07.155
agreed to, to have that transport plan in place,
1220
01:12:07.155 --> 01:12:09.395
which would be agreed with Cambridge County Council
1221
01:12:09.415 --> 01:12:12.475
and the number of spaces that will be utilized and,
1222
01:12:12.475 --> 01:12:16.035
and how that's moved, uh, down to, uh, to,
1223
01:12:16.535 --> 01:12:20.075
to represent the change in the shift in car, um, car usage,
1224
01:12:20.255 --> 01:12:22.515
uh, would be agreed through that mechanism. Is
1225
01:12:22.515 --> 01:12:23.515
A travel plan binding?
1226
01:12:24.645 --> 01:12:27.705
Uh, yes. It's a, it's a DCO. It's a DCO document.
1227
01:12:27.705 --> 01:12:29.945
It's in, uh, requirement, um, 12.
```

```
1228
01:12:30.815 --> 01:12:33.185
Even if you did achieve that shift
1229
01:12:34.045 --> 01:12:38.745
and you had 40, 50 spare car parking spaces,
1230
01:12:39.395 --> 01:12:41.425
would there be anything in the DCO
1231
01:12:42.045 --> 01:12:43.945
to stop those spaces being used
1232
01:12:44.085 --> 01:12:46.585
by say more office stuff being moved
1233
01:12:46.585 --> 01:12:47.945
into the gateway building?
1234
01:13:04.045 --> 01:13:05.425
Uh, Gavin wait for the applicant.
1235
01:13:05.485 --> 01:13:09.895
Uh, I once, once we've agreed those car sharing,
1236
01:13:09.965 --> 01:13:11.975
once the targets were agreed in the travel plan
1237
01:13:11.995 --> 01:13:15.015
and the car, the agreed, um, number of spaces
1238
01:13:15.085 --> 01:13:18.055
that we'd have on the site, I believe that that's, um,
1239
01:13:19.495 --> 01:13:21.145
secured by the, uh, the DCO.
1240
01:13:21.325 --> 01:13:25.745
So, so if, if that target's 55%, let's turn this
1241
01:13:25.745 --> 01:13:30.225
```

```
around another way and you've got, I dunno, 60 say,
1242
01:13:30.225 --> 01:13:32.425
let's say 60 staff car parking spaces.
1243
01:13:32.615 --> 01:13:36.985
Does that mean that provided that 55% of,
1244
01:13:37.925 --> 01:13:40.705
um, 120 staff arrive by car,
1245
01:13:41.655 --> 01:13:43.185
then that would be fine.
1246
01:13:46.055 --> 01:13:50.065
What I'm concerned about here is that, um, the provision
1247
01:13:50.065 --> 01:13:54.635
of car parking would facilitate the occupation
1248
01:13:54.655 --> 01:13:58.195
of the gateway building by a much greater number
1249
01:13:58.215 --> 01:14:01.675
of people than has been modeled in the transport work.
1250
01:14:03.325 --> 01:14:04.585
Now, if it has,
1251
01:14:05.285 --> 01:14:09.665
and it could be more than double based on the parking, um,
1252
01:14:09.965 --> 01:14:13.645
ratios that, um, you've set out here, then
1253
01:14:14.115 --> 01:14:16.645
that could potentially result in an impact
1254
01:14:16.795 --> 01:14:19.125
that on the highway network that hasn't been tested.
```

1255
01:14:30.135 --> 01:14:31.555
Um, Gavin makes for the applicant.
1256
01:14:31.845 --> 01:14:32.995
Gavin makes for the applicant.
1257
01:14:33.055 $\rightarrow$ 01:14:35.475
Uh, we believe we've te well we've tested the higher number.
1258
01:14:35.475 --> 01:14:38.475
That's the, the, the 92 movements that we've set out in the,
1259
01:14:39.095 --> 01:14:41.795
The 92 , sorry, the 92 vehicles. The,
1260
01:14:42.015 --> 01:14:44.355
The 40 to say it's the, the 92 movements.
1261
01:14:44.355 --> 01:14:47.355
So that's the, um, the operational workers plus the

1262
01:14:47.355 --> 01:14:49.905
additional, um, HT V vehicles.

1263
01:14:49.905 --> 01:14:51.905
That's all been tested in the reasonable worst case
1264
01:14:51.905 --> 01:14:52.945
in the transport assessment.
1265
01:14:53.495 --> 01:14:55.545
Okay. Well perhaps as part of the

1266
01:14:56.135 --> 01:14:59.385
clarification on parking, we can also have clarification on,
1267
01:15:00.165 --> 01:15:02.625
um, why that number is needed.
1268
01:15:04.535 --> 01:15:05.695

I understand what you've said.
1269
01:15:05.695 --> 01:15:10.075
You've designed it to maximum standards in the,
1270
01:15:10.295 --> 01:15:13.675
the local planning policy, but why in practices it needed
1271
01:15:15.215 --> 01:15:19.955
and how can we be certain that that would not facilitate
1272
01:15:21.145 --> 01:15:24.005
an intensification of the number of people using
1273
01:15:25.365 --> 01:15:26.825
the, the gateway building?
1274
01:15:29.645 --> 01:15:32.435
Thank you. There's just, I'm looking to,
1275
01:15:32.935 --> 01:15:34.875
to move towards a break pretty soon
1276
01:15:34.875 --> 01:15:39.055
and there's just one further point that I'd like to discuss,
1277
01:15:39.065 --> 01:15:41.175
which is, um, site access.
1278
01:15:43.295 --> 01:15:44.715
And there's been,
1279
01:15:44.915 --> 01:15:47.155
I think everybody's probably aware who's read the,
1280
01:15:47.155 --> 01:15:49.555
the documentation, some concerns expressed
1281
01:15:50.125 --> 01:15:51.395
about the access points

```
1282
01:15:51.415 --> 01:15:54.995
and that, um, three access points were tested
1283
01:15:56.335 --> 01:15:59.195
or were proposed in the, the consultation period.
1284
01:16:00.135 --> 01:16:03.155
And, um, the applicant chose one of those,
1285
01:16:03.245 --> 01:16:05.155
which wasn't the favored one.
1286
01:16:05.335 --> 01:16:08.795
The applicant chose an access from Hoing Sea Road when
1287
01:16:10.575 --> 01:16:13.455
I think the majority, the vast majority actually preferred
1288
01:16:13.455 --> 01:16:16.215
an access directly from the A 14.
1289
01:16:19.925 --> 01:16:23.385
Now, just on the, the access point itself,
1290
01:16:23.505 --> 01:16:27.785
I was quite surprised when I read the draft statements
1 2 9 1
01:16:27.785 --> 01:16:30.425
of common ground and the pads that
1292
01:16:30.935 --> 01:16:32.825
both Cambridge city council
1293
01:16:32.885 --> 01:16:36.025
and South Cambridge District Council, um,
1294
01:16:36.655 --> 01:16:39.785
were concerned about the, the access points.
1295
01:16:40.845 --> 01:16:42.345
```

That's the first point I've,
1296
01:16:42.345 --> 01:16:43.665
that's the first time I've seen that,
1297
01:16:44.325 --> 01:16:45.325
So it's not true.
1298
01:16:45.955 --> 01:16:47.265
Right. Okay. I think
1299
01:16:47.295 --> 01:16:49.025
that underlines the point we were making about a
1300
01:16:49.025 --> 01:16:50.825
statement to common ground in draft. Yes.
1301
01:16:51.215 --> 01:16:54.345
Yeah, that, so there, there is, uh, the,
1302
01:16:54.765 --> 01:16:56.905
the district council and the city council defer
1303
01:16:56.905 --> 01:16:58.305
to the county council on these matters.
1304
01:16:58.405 --> 01:17:00.505
And, and it was, it was reflected in the draft,
1305
01:17:00.525 --> 01:17:01.545
but that is not the case.
1306
01:17:01.875 --> 01:17:06.565
Thank you. Now
1307
01:17:07.825 --> 01:17:12.215
the applicant suggested in its
1308
01:17:12.415 --> 01:17:14.895
documentation that option three,

```
1309
01:17:14.955 --> 01:17:19.615
the direct access from the A 14 was ruled out,
1310
01:17:20.595 --> 01:17:23.895
um, in response to National Highway's policy.
1 3 1 1
01:17:25.205 --> 01:17:28.785
And we've got two references here that I refer to.
1312
01:17:29.155 --> 01:17:32.265
First one is document rep 1 0 7 8
1 3 1 3
01:17:34.165 --> 01:17:38.305
where the applicant says
1314
01:17:39.015 --> 01:17:41.825
this is on page 138 of that document.
1315
01:17:42.775 --> 01:17:46.425
This option was not acceptable to National Highways due
1316
01:17:46.565 --> 01:17:50.465
to safety concerns and non policy compliance.
1317
01:17:51.005 --> 01:17:52.745
So this option was not pursued.
1318
01:17:54.635 --> 01:17:57.095
Do you need that reference again? Yes.
1319
01:17:57.405 --> 01:18:00.895
It's document rep 1 0 7 8
1320
01:18:02.535 --> 01:18:05.435
and that reference is on page 1, 3 8 of that document.
1321
01:18:11.645 --> 01:18:14.655
It's the applicant's response to relevant representations.
1322
01:18:18.385 --> 01:18:19.675
```

```
Just in the interest of time,
1323
01:18:19.675 --> 01:18:20.915
you don't need to look it up now.
1324
01:18:20.915 --> 01:18:24.035
I've just told you what the general, um, gist of it is,
1325
01:18:24.535 --> 01:18:27.315
and then in, um, I'll give you the reference again
1326
01:18:27.335 --> 01:18:28.835
so you can look it up later.
1327
01:18:29.785 --> 01:18:32.755
It's rep 1 0 7 9,
1328
01:18:34.025 --> 01:18:38.005
and it's the applicant's response to question 20.22.
1329
01:18:41.725 --> 01:18:44.365
And it says that in effect,
1330
01:18:44.505 --> 01:18:48.485
it option three would only be acceptable where there
1331
01:18:49.335 --> 01:18:51.785
were no, where there were no viable alternatives,
1332
01:18:52.125 --> 01:18:55.265
and the need for a new junction off the strategic road
1333
01:18:55.265 --> 01:18:56.625
network could be evidenced.
1334
01:18:58.225 --> 01:19:01.585
Could you just give me a little bit more clarity?
1335
01:19:01.635 --> 01:19:04.825
There seems to be those, those two statements don't
```

```
1336
01:19:05.935 --> 01:19:07.435
wholly reconcile in my mind.
1337
01:19:07.535 --> 01:19:09.435
One suggests that it's ruled out
1338
01:19:09.535 --> 01:19:13.955
and one suggests that it could be possible subject
1339
01:19:14.055 --> 01:19:16.515
to an, to the caveats I've just read out.
1340
01:19:28.595 --> 01:19:32.545
Thank you, sir. Um, Alice Norman, uh, national Highways,
1341
01:19:33.125 --> 01:19:37.425
um, I suppose the, the comments made, um, um,
1342
01:19:37.485 --> 01:19:40.625
at the sort of early stages in a consultation, um,
1343
01:19:41.255 --> 01:19:44.465
they reflect our policy stance set out in circular
1344
01:19:45.215 --> 01:19:50.185
0 1, 2 2, um, due to the sort of longevity
1345
01:19:50.245 --> 01:19:53.505
of the project that may have moved on from our,
1346
01:19:53.805 --> 01:19:55.945
the previous, um, iteration.
1347
01:19:56.765 --> 01:20:01.105
Um, I think the, the stance is broadly to look for,
1348
01:20:01.925 --> 01:20:06.475
um, the, yeah, new junctions are sort of not necess,
1349
01:20:06.735 --> 01:20:08.445
```

```
not sort of the first,
1350
01:20:09.385 --> 01:20:13.425
but, um, sort of, yeah, we tried to look
1351
01:20:13.485 --> 01:20:15.105
for other alternatives.
1352
01:20:15.765 --> 01:20:16.765
Um,
1353
01:20:17.245 --> 01:20:19.025
And if it could, if it was demonstrated
1354
01:20:19.095 --> 01:20:21.825
that there weren't any other acceptable alternatives,
1355
01:20:22.355 --> 01:20:24.305
would you then move on to the stage
1356
01:20:24.305 --> 01:20:27.345
of looking at whether a direct access was possible?
1357
01:20:28.005 --> 01:20:29.425
Uh, it would, yeah.
1358
01:20:29.475 --> 01:20:32.425
There, there is a process to, to follow.
1359
01:20:32.605 --> 01:20:37.225
And, um, looking at, um, yeah, the DMRB,
1360
01:20:37.525 --> 01:20:42.185
um, compliance, um, whether there's departures required,
1361
01:20:42.405 --> 01:20:45.905
um, road safety audits, um, uh, yeah,
1362
01:20:46.105 --> 01:20:48.465
I believe this was, has been set out.
```

1363
01:20:49.085 --> 01:20:51.145
Um, but yes, our preference would be
1364
01:20:51.675 --> 01:20:52.675
Thank you.
1365
01:20:52.845 --> 01:20:55.705
Uh, again, deadline for if you'd like to come back
1366
01:20:55.705 --> 01:20:57.505
and set that out to us, that would be helpful.
1367
01:20:58.715 --> 01:21:02.145
Thank you, sir. Yes. Um, I've just also got a response,
1368
01:21:02.565 --> 01:21:07.295
um, from clients who have confirmed
1369
01:21:07.325 --> 01:21:12.155
that if we, the,
1370
01:21:12.255 --> 01:21:16.515
the issue for, for nh, um, on one
1371
01:21:16.515 --> 01:21:19.195
of the big safety issues with the SSRN is I think
1372
01:21:19.195 --> 01:21:20.395
what's known as weaving.
1373
01:21:20.735 --> 01:21:23.915
Um, so that, that is a big safety issue. Yeah.
1374
01:21:25.455 --> 01:21:28.955
Um, we say if there's a departure from standards,
1375
01:21:28.955 --> 01:21:32.395
the standards, we have to follow safety at dmm DMRB,
1376
01:21:32.395 --> 01:21:35.035

```
which is, um, I keep using the words DM Rrb,
1377
01:21:35.135 --> 01:21:36.435
I'm assuming everyone knows it's I, yes.
1378
01:21:36.435 --> 01:21:37.835
It's not manual for Roads and Bridges. Okay.
1379
01:21:38.175 --> 01:21:41.595
Um, if there's a departure from standards,
1380
01:21:41.595 --> 01:21:42.995
there's a, a safety issue.
1381
01:21:42.995 --> 01:21:45.275
There are whole processes that have to be followed,
1382
01:21:45.275 --> 01:21:48.115
including road safety audits and all the rest of it.
1383
01:21:48.495 --> 01:21:51.075
In short, we will deal with a deadline for
1384
01:21:51.445 --> 01:21:52.445
Thank you.
1385
01:21:53.095 --> 01:21:56.235
And, um, one final question on this point over
1386
01:21:56.235 --> 01:21:57.395
to the applicant's side.
1387
01:21:58.335 --> 01:22:02.395
Um, again, it's document rep one
1388
01:22:03.105 --> 01:22:04.675
dash 0 7 9,
1389
01:22:05.995 --> 01:22:10.165
and you told us at point C of
```

```
1390
01:22:11.005 --> 01:22:12.845
question 20.22,
1391
01:22:15.585 --> 01:22:17.965
the option to create a new junction off the A 14.
1392
01:22:17.965 --> 01:22:22.045
Option three was discounted based on feedback raised
1393
01:22:22.105 --> 01:22:23.325
by National Highways
1394
01:22:24.105 --> 01:22:27.805
and Cambridge County Council at the second, second stage
1395
01:22:27.805 --> 01:22:30.705
of consultation, and then
1396
01:22:31.525 --> 01:22:34.105
in the previous response.
1397
01:22:34.205 --> 01:22:36.945
So that's question 20.21.
1398
01:22:38.515 --> 01:22:41.935
You've told us that no traffic surveys were undertaken
1399
01:22:42.035 --> 01:22:46.535
before December, 2021 to support the choice of site access
1400
01:22:47.355 --> 01:22:48.855
and a desk-based study
1401
01:22:48.855 --> 01:22:51.215
of transport impact was carried out at the
1402
01:22:51.215 --> 01:22:52.255
site selection stage.
1403
01:22:54.075 --> 01:22:57.255
```

How could National Highways have ruled out
1404
01:22:59.355 --> 01:23:01.655
or ruled in option three
1405
01:23:03.195 --> 01:23:05.605
if there wasn't any evidence to base on?
1406
01:23:10.035 --> 01:23:14.735
Uh, so Mr. Pryor on who's on screen, uh, can
1407
01:23:15.335 --> 01:23:17.175
I think, answer these questions best?
1408
01:23:17.175 --> 01:23:18.175
Thank you.
1409
01:23:20.295 --> 01:23:21.645
Thank you. Um, good afternoon,
1410
01:23:21.645 --> 01:23:22.685
Andrew Pryor for the applicant.
1411
01:23:22.865 --> 01:23:25.725
Um, so I think with respect, you are,
1412
01:23:25.785 --> 01:23:28.525
you are seeing a sort partial characterization
1413
01:23:28.545 --> 01:23:30.965
of the site selection process for, um,
1414
01:23:31.745 --> 01:23:33.125
for the access arrangements.
1415
01:23:33.465 --> 01:23:36.445
It was not solely on National Highways issues,
1416
01:23:36.785 --> 01:23:39.445
but also on a balanced scorecard.

1417
01:23:39.995 --> 01:23:42.445
That use of that balanced scorecard against those three
1418
01:23:42.445 --> 01:23:45.365
options showed that there was a viable alternative
1419
01:23:45.365 --> 01:23:49.365
to a new junction on the net strategic network, and
1420
01:23:49.365 --> 01:23:53.525
therefore the, the highways, the National Highways policy,
1421
01:23:54.185 --> 01:23:55.445
uh, failed automatically
1422
01:23:55.445 --> 01:23:58.285
because there was a viable option, namely Junction 34 .
1423
01:23:58.785 --> 01:24:03.045
Um, I would, sorry, I I I, I would draw your attention
1424
01:24:03.065 --> 01:24:07.005
to section six, I believe, of the alternatives chapter
1425
01:24:07.745 --> 01:24:09.685
of the, uh, environmental statement.
1426
01:24:09.745 --> 01:24:11.885
I'm sorry, I don't have the document number, but, um,
1427
01:24:12.435 --> 01:24:13.845
I've already reviewed that. Thank you.
1428
01:24:14.065 --> 01:24:16.325
And, and that shows the balance scorecard, one
1429
01:24:16.325 --> 01:24:19.885
of which was alignment with national, um, highways policy,
1430
01:24:19.945 --> 01:24:22.245

```
but there were a number of other considerations
1431
01:24:22.245 --> 01:24:25.005
that also led us to adopt Junction 34.
1432
01:24:26.025 --> 01:24:30.365
But as I understand it, that was, that was prior to any
1433
01:24:30.955 --> 01:24:33.245
traffic survey work being undertaken.
1434
01:24:33.245 --> 01:24:35.085
It was based on this desktop study
1435
01:24:35.185 --> 01:24:36.605
that's mentioned by the applicant.
1436
01:24:37.325 --> 01:24:38.325
I think that's correct, sir,
1437
01:24:38.345 --> 01:24:42.325
but that doesn't exclude the, um, the, the finding
1438
01:24:42.345 --> 01:24:46.365
of a viable alternative to a direct access off the, off,
1439
01:24:46.505 --> 01:24:48.165
off the, uh, strategic road network.
1440
01:24:48.865 --> 01:24:49.965
But could it be the case
1441
01:24:50.035 --> 01:24:53.685
that subsequent work had was undertaken, uh,
1442
01:24:53.685 --> 01:24:58.245
that demonstrated that the selected option was not the
1443
01:24:58.765 --> 01:25:00.525
optimal option or even not viable?
```

1444
01:25:01.645 --> 01:25:04.265
Um, well, subsequent we've shown
1445
01:25:04.265 --> 01:25:06.385
that the Junction 34 option is viable.
1446
01:25:06.585 --> 01:25:07.945
I don't think there's anything, and
1447
01:25:07.945 --> 01:25:09.025
I I'll refer you back to Mr.
1448
01:25:09.025 --> 01:25:10.265
Mr. Mr. Wicks maybe,
1449
01:25:10.285 --> 01:25:12.345
but I don't think there's anything to indicate
1450
01:25:12.345 --> 01:25:15.265
that the direct access from Junction 34 is unviable,
1451
01:25:15.265 --> 01:25:16.745
it's a viable access and
1452
01:25:16.745 --> 01:25:19.985
therefore a new access off the Strategic Road
1453
01:25:19.985 --> 01:25:21.105
network would not be viable.
1454
01:25:21.505 --> 01:25:24.465
I, I, I suggest perhaps so that we get our heads together
1455
01:25:24.465 --> 01:25:27.185
with, um, with National Highways to provide you with
1456
01:25:27.185 --> 01:25:29.225
that evidence trail on that decision making.
1457
01:25:29.325 --> 01:25:32.505

But I, I think it's clear to us as the applicant
1458
01:25:32.505 --> 01:25:33.905
that there is a viable alternative and
1459
01:25:33.905 --> 01:25:35.545
therefore, uh, a new,
1460
01:25:36.065 --> 01:25:38.945
a new junction would not be possible under national policy.
1461
01:25:40.215 --> 01:25:42.265
Well, it needs to be via, it needs to be evident
1462
01:25:42.485 --> 01:25:44.065
to the decision maker.
1463
01:25:44.245 --> 01:25:48.665
So if you could provide information to that, um,
1464
01:25:49.965 --> 01:25:52.265
in that, in that regard, I think that would be very helpful.
1465
01:25:54.055 --> 01:25:56.115
Tha Thank you, sir. I mean, it will be largely a
1466
01:25:56.275 --> 01:25:58.795
reiteration of that, of the alternatives chapter,
1467
01:25:58.935 --> 01:26:02.955
but we will provide a timeline, uh, of that, uh, moving
1468
01:26:03.025 --> 01:26:04.165
of viability as it were.
1469
01:26:05.135 --> 01:26:07.205
Thank you. Um, Mr.
1470
01:26:07.305 --> 01:26:09.085
Gilder, you had your hand up during that.

1471
01:26:09.305 --> 01:26:11.165
Um, could you come back please?
1472
01:26:12.265 --> 01:26:13.525
Um, thank you, sir.
1473
01:26:13.755 --> 01:26:16.845
Unfortunately, I'm going to a delay your, um,
1474
01:26:16.895 --> 01:26:18.765
break a little bit and b, take you back
1475
01:26:18.825 --> 01:26:20.045
to the question of parking.
1476
01:26:20.225 $\rightarrow$ 01:26:22.565
Sir. Um, as you remember, I,
1477
01:26:22.925 - -> 01:26:24.805
I intervened while you were in the middle of your,
1478
01:26:25.035 --> 01:26:27.325
your prolonged careful set
1479
01:26:27.325 --> 01:26:29.085
of questions about the parking provision,
1480
01:26:29.185 --> 01:26:31.925
and I think probably it's time that we sorted
1481
01:26:31.925 --> 01:26:34.405
that out before we break. Um, sir,
1482
01:26:34.945 --> 01:26:36.045
I'm terribly sorry to Mr.
1483
01:26:36.095 --> 01:26:40.325
Gilda to interrupt. I have one point to make on
1484
01:26:41.145 $\rightarrow$ 01:26:43.925

```
the, um, choice of access if I,
1485
01:26:43.945 --> 01:26:45.165
if I could quickly make that. Let,
1486
01:26:45.355 --> 01:26:46.645
Well, what is it?
1487
01:26:46.645 --> 01:26:47.805
Do you need to come back to it now
1488
01:26:47.805 --> 01:26:49.725
or do you want to respond at the same time
1489
01:26:49.785 --> 01:26:51.165
as Ms a response to Mr.
1490
01:26:51.305 --> 01:26:53.765
Gilder? It's up to you.
1491
01:26:53.955 --> 01:26:56.005
Well, it's a discreet point. I please.
1492
01:26:56.235 --> 01:26:58.405
It's more, it's probably better for everyone to Mr. Gilder
1493
01:26:58.405 --> 01:26:59.725
If you It done now, now
1494
01:26:59.865 --> 01:27:01.605
and then we'll revert to parking.
1495
01:27:02.465 --> 01:27:05.645
Um, uh, and it, it's important, uh,
1496
01:27:05.645 --> 01:27:09.565
because, um, it, it is the case that we engaged fully
1497
01:27:09.915 --> 01:27:12.205
with National Highways at this stage
```

```
1498
01:27:12.665 --> 01:27:17.165
and the advice was always consistently from them, um,
1499
01:27:18.105 --> 01:27:22.285
not to take the access off the a 14 directly.
1500
01:27:23.025 --> 01:27:24.025
Thank you. Thank You,
1501
01:27:25.195 --> 01:27:26.195
Mr. Gilda.
1502
01:27:26.195 --> 01:27:26.665
1503
01:27:27.425 --> 01:27:29.375
Sorry, sir. I thought we'd got to the end of the,
1504
01:27:29.555 --> 01:27:32.215
the a }14\mathrm{ point Apologies to Ms. Ellis.
1505
01:27:32.595 --> 01:27:36.055
Um, I think you've pursued, sir, the question
1506
01:27:36.055 --> 01:27:38.575
of overprovision of car parking from the point of view of,
1507
01:27:38.915 --> 01:27:43.055
um, adding additional office staff who aren't as far
1508
01:27:43.055 --> 01:27:45.735
as I know, uh, in the applicant's plans at the moment
1509
01:27:45.835 --> 01:27:47.055
to move them to that site.
1510
01:27:47.555 --> 01:27:49.215
Um, I think the fundamental question,
1511
01:27:49.215 --> 01:27:52.495
```

which I hope you are going to go to as well, Sarah, is, is
1512
01:27:52.495 --> 01:27:55.375
that they are making a massive overprovision
1513
01:27:55.375 --> 01:27:57.335
of car parking on a green belt site.
1514
01:27:57.645 --> 01:28:00.655
They're going to provide something like twice as well,
1515
01:28:00.685 --> 01:28:02.935
depending on which set of numbers you want to take.
1516
01:28:02.965 --> 01:28:05.295
71 spaces for 38 staff.
1517
01:28:05.315 --> 01:28:08.375
And if they manage to persuade some of those 38 staff
1518
01:28:08.395 --> 01:28:11.695
to travel by means other than private car, we could get down
1519
01:28:11.695 --> 01:28:16.135
to needing circa 20 spaces, um,
1520
01:28:16.675 --> 01:28:18.295
to support that, that building.
1521
01:28:19.115 --> 01:28:21.455
Surely the, the other factor which you need
1522
01:28:21.455 --> 01:28:23.375
to be taking into account and the applicant needs
1523
01:28:23.375 --> 01:28:24.615
to address is why is
1524
01:28:24.615 --> 01:28:27.415
that over-provision being made on a site in the greenbelt?

1525
01:28:27.905 --> 01:28:30.455
Thank you. That, that is something we do have in mind,
1526
01:28:30.555 --> 01:28:33.415
but this is not a green belt session today, so Well,
1527
01:28:33.415 --> 01:28:34.415
I recognize that's why we haven't discussed that,
1528
01:28:34.795 --> 01:28:36.015
but the two do come together.
1529
01:28:36.015 --> 01:28:37.215
Sorry. Thank you, sir.
1530
01:28:38.395 --> 01:28:41.865
Is there any, anybody else who'd like to say anything
1531
01:28:42.725 --> 01:28:45.665
in relation to the matters we just discussed, Ms. Cotton?
1532
01:28:46.765 --> 01:28:49.825
Uh, just a very quick question about, uh, that, um,
1533
01:28:49.895 --> 01:28:51.745
over provision of, uh, parking spaces
1534
01:28:51.845 --> 01:28:54.545
and potentially facilitating other, um,
1535
01:28:54.825 --> 01:28:57.465
angling water activities that are not at all related
1536
01:28:57.565 --> 01:28:59.305
to the sewage plant, given
1537
01:28:59.305 --> 01:29:01.365
that the whole thing is being financed by the taxpayer
1538
01:29:01.365 --> 01:29:03.765
and it's all about relocating a sewage plant
1539
01:29:03.765 --> 01:29:06.485
and not facilitating angling, mortar, private companies,
1540
01:29:06.495 --> 01:29:09.885
other activities, is that anything that can be managed
1541
01:29:09.905 --> 01:29:12.085
and assured that that won't be the case in the future?
1542
01:29:12.145 --> 01:29:14.925
Or is it sort of once it's there it can be expanded and,
1543
01:29:14.925 --> 01:29:17.525
and used for all sorts of activities? Well,
1544
01:29:17.525 --> 01:29:20.165
I've asked the, uh, the question similar to that,
1545
01:29:20.185 --> 01:29:22.045
to the applicant, so we'll wait to see
1546
01:29:22.345 --> 01:29:24.085
how the applicant responds to that.
1547
01:29:24.225 --> 01:29:25.325
Um, a deadline for
1548
01:29:25.665 --> 01:29:26.665
Thanks. Thank you.
1549
01:29:26.665 --> 01:29:28.405
Apologies for repeating your question.
1550
01:29:28.465 --> 01:29:30.565
That's, that's fine. Um, anybody,
1551
01:29:30.665 --> 01:29:33.085
I'm ing is there anybody online who'd like

```
1552
01:29:33.085 --> 01:29:33.805
to make any points,
1553
01:29:37.565 --> 01:29:38.175
applicant?
1554
01:29:38.195 --> 01:29:40.815
Is there anything final that you'd like to come back on?
1555
01:29:41.475 --> 01:29:42.735
No, thank you and thank you sir
1556
01:29:42.735 --> 01:29:44.495
for taking my National Highways point.
1557
01:29:44.495 --> 01:29:45.975
That's fine. Thank that stage. Thank
1558
01:29:45.975 --> 01:29:46.975
You. In that case,
1559
01:29:46.975 --> 01:29:49.135
it's um, 20 past three.
1560
01:29:49.595 --> 01:29:53.895
Um, and I'll adjourn for a short break of }15\mathrm{ minutes,
1561
01:29:53.995 --> 01:29:58.935
so if everybody could return at 1535, we'd be most grateful.
1562
01:29:59.385 --> 01:29:59.815
Thank you.
```

